

Jun 03 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
REPORTER

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Expert Report of Melodie Peet

Purpose and Scope of Report

This report summarizes my expert opinion regarding the State of Mississippi's administration, funding, and oversight of its mental health system. Specifically, I was asked whether the State's services are sufficient to prevent unnecessary institutionalization in State Hospitals, and if not, what changes, if any, the State can make to achieve that goal.

Summary of Opinion

Mississippi can serve people in the most integrated setting as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act, but currently it does not do so. Mississippi continues to rely heavily on State Hospitals to serve adults with mental illness, a strategy that other states abandoned decades ago.

People with serious mental illness, including people who have spent lengthy periods in State Hospitals, can lead productive, stable lives in the community with necessary supports. Mississippi does not currently provide sufficient community-based services, leaving the State Hospitals as the only options. Mississippi can make changes to its system to serve people in the most integrated settings appropriate. To accomplish that goal, the State must shift and maximize funding, expand critical community-based services and make them available throughout the State, establish strong coordination and collaboration across the system, provide robust oversight and technical assistance, and use data to continuously refine and improve its system for serving adults with mental illness.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Contents

Purpose and Scope of Report.....	1
Summary of Opinion.....	1
Background and Experience	4
Methodology	5
Overview of Mississippi's Mental Health System.....	5
Mental Health Systems with Effective Community-Based Care Reduce Reliance on State Hospitals	7
Community-Based Mental Health Care Changed the Role of State Hospitals.....	8
Mississippi Acknowledges the Changed Landscape of Mental Health Treatment.....	9
Key Community-Based Services Can Reduce Reliance on Hospital Placements.....	10
Crisis Services.....	11
PACT and Intensive Case Management.....	12
Peer Support	13
Supported Employment.....	14
Permanent Supported Housing.....	14
Mississippi State Hospitals	14
Impact of Institutional Placement in State Hospitals.....	15
People with Mental Illness, Like People without Disabilities, Prefer to Live in the Community	17
Mississippi Continues to Rely Heavily Upon Segregated Institutional Care for People with Mental Illness.....	18
Availability of Community-Based Services is Insufficient and Inconsistent	19
Mississippi Has Long Known it Must Shift Resources to the Community	24
Mississippi Has Not Executed an Effective Plan to Shift Resources and Reduce Reliance on Hospitalization	24
Mississippi Does Not Effectively Coordinate Admissions and Discharges to Prevent Hospitalization and Readmission.....	26
Mississippi Has A Relatively Low Level of Investment in Its Community-Based System	28
Mississippi Has Maintained A Relatively High Number of State Hospital Beds.....	29
DMH and DOM Could Exercise Their Authority to Shape an Effective Community-Based Mental Health System.....	31
Providing Services in the Community Is Typically Less Expensive for States than Relying on Institutional Care and this Holds True in Mississippi.....	32
State Hospital Costs and Financing.....	32

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Community Services Costs and Financing..... 32

Conclusion 35

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Background and Experience

In my 40 year career in mental health, I have worked both as a provider of services and as a state mental health administrator. My resume is attached as Appendix A and includes any publications I have authored within the last 10 years. After completing a Master's Degree in Public Health with a focus on Mental Health Administration at Yale, I spent ten years in Connecticut as an administrator at community mental health centers. During that time, I oversaw the development and expansion of community-based services still used today and I partnered in downsizing state hospitals.

I then served as the Deputy Commissioner of the Connecticut Department of Mental Health, focusing on community systems development. In that role, I focused on expansion of community-based services, including supportive housing, and on continuing to decrease reliance on state hospitals. To support community services, we transferred funds from the hospital system to the community.

From 1995 to 1999, I was the Commissioner of Mental Health, Developmental Services, and Substance Abuse Administration in Maine. As Commissioner, I oversaw a substantial increase in community-based services. Key elements of this effort included establishing key community-based mental health services statewide, the creation of feedback loops between the community and my office, and ensuring that offices and agencies involved with the provision of publicly funded mental health services understood that they were responsible for every person with a mental illness in their assigned region. The expansion of community services was funded primarily through a transfer of resources to support community services from state hospitals as demand for services decreased.

Between 2000 and 2010, my work focused primarily on children's mental health. As the Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Children and Families in Massachusetts, my efforts were targeted to integrating the work of the Departments of Mental Health and Child Welfare. In Connecticut, as CEO of a state hospital, my efforts centered on trying to move the hospital from its identity as an isolated stand-alone institution, to becoming a partner with Regional Service Systems.

In recent years, I have worked as the director of a large non-profit organization delivering services to people with mental illness and intellectual disabilities.

The length and breadth of my career, combined with my experience managing systems grappling with balancing institutional and community-based care, gives me an expert perspective from which to offer opinions on Mississippi's mental health services.

Within the last four years, I have not testified as an expert, either in a deposition or at trial, in any cases. I am being compensated at a rate of \$175 per hour, plus expenses. My compensation is not dependent on the outcome of this litigation.

Methodology

To formulate my opinions, I reviewed professional literature, State documents, and deposition transcripts. A list of information I considered is attached at Appendix B. I also met in person and by phone with representatives of Community Mental Health Center regions 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, and 15, CHOICE housing providers Open Doors and MUTEH, consumers and staff at the Opal Smith Drop in Center, staff and people receiving services at the Stewpot shelter in Jackson, a chancery court clerk, and a group of advocates. I also visited East Mississippi State Hospital (EMSH) and the Central Mississippi Residential Center (CMRC). I then applied my experience and generally accepted standards in the field of mental health administration to the facts and data I considered.

Overview of Mississippi's Mental Health System

In Mississippi two State agencies have primary responsibility for designing, funding, and overseeing the public mental health system: The Department of Mental Health (DMH) and the Division of Medicaid (DOM). DMH “administers and operates state behavioral health programs” and is responsible for “certifying, monitoring, and assisting the regional community mental health centers.”¹ DOM designs and administers the State’s Medicaid program.²

Currently Mississippi operates four State Hospitals serving adults: North Mississippi State Hospital, South Mississippi State Hospital, East Mississippi State Hospital, and Mississippi State Hospital.³ Excluding forensic beds, the hospitals had 447 adult psychiatric beds in FY 2017 and served 3,216 people.⁴ The State also operates the Central Mississippi Residential Center, which had 68 beds and served 89 people in FY 2017.⁵

Admission to the State Hospitals is achieved through the civil commitment process.⁶ The civil commitment statute in Mississippi provides that people may be committed if the “the patient is a person with mental illness” and the court finds after “careful consideration of reasonable alternative dispositions” that there is “no suitable alternative to judicial commitment.”⁷ Of course, the availability of services will impact the court’s assessment of whether there is a “suitable alternative.”⁸

¹ DMH, Annual Report FY2017, 2 [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/DMH-FY17-Annual-Report.pdf>].

² DOM, About [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/about/>].

³ DMH, Behavioral Health Programs [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/who-we-are/psychiatric-hospitals/>].

⁴ DMH, Fast Facts FY2017, 3-6 [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/Fast-Facts-FY17-Final.pdf>] (including acute psychiatric, continuing treatment, medical surgical beds).

⁵ DMH, *supra* note 3; DMH, *supra* note 4, at 6-7.

⁶ See Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-61 *et seq.*

⁷ Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-73.

⁸ Depending on the county, after a judge orders a civil commitment, the person who was committed may await a State Hospital bed in the local jail or return home until there is a bed available. Call with Chancery Court Clerk, May 1, 2018.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Community mental health services are designed, funded, and overseen by DMH and DOM and primarily provided by fourteen regional Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs).⁹ Both DMH and DOM have established standards for the community mental health services they fund and oversee.¹⁰ In addition to providing office-based services like therapy and psychiatry, the CMHCs provide mobile services including case management and crisis services. Some also provide more intensive ongoing mobile services and/or operate crisis stabilization units for acute care.

Funding for behavioral health services is primarily managed and distributed by DMH and DOM. DMH funds services at the State Hospitals and at the CMRC using almost exclusively State resources. In FY 2017 the State allocated almost \$189 million for the State Hospitals¹¹ and \$7 million to the CMRC.¹² DMH also funds CMHC services through grants. In FY 2017 DMH granted community providers \$26.9 million in State funds for adult community mental health services.¹³ DMH also distributed \$4.7 million in federal grants to support community mental health services.¹⁴

DOM also provides Medicaid reimbursement for some mental health services. Under federal Medicaid rules, DOM can and does reimburse providers for community mental health services that are included in the State's Medicaid service array and provided to people enrolled in Medicaid.¹⁵ DOM also pays for inpatient psychiatric stays at general community hospitals for people enrolled in Medicaid.¹⁶ However, for the most part, Medicaid does not pay for stays in freestanding psychiatric hospitals, including the State Hospitals.¹⁷ In Mississippi, people who qualify for Supplemental Security Income due to a disability, such as mental illness, are eligible

⁹ DMH, Community Mental Health Centers [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/service-options/community-mh-centers/>].

¹⁰ DMH, Operational Standards for Mental Health, Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Use Disorders Community Service Providers [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Final-Master-2016-Operational-Standards-for-Distribution-6-17-16.pdf>]; DOM, Administrative Code, Title 23: Medicaid Part 206 Mental Health Services [https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/AdminCode-Part_206.pdf].

¹¹ This funding includes funding for non-adult services at the State Hospitals and two nursing homes on the campuses of State Hospitals. Mississippi State Hospital Budget request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019 [<http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52648>]; East Mississippi State Hospital Budget request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019 [<http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52586>]; South Mississippi State Hospital Budget request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019 [<http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52924>]; North Mississippi State Hospital Budget request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019 [<http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52802>].

¹² Central Mississippi Residential Center Budget request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019 [<http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52679>].

¹³ DMH, *supra* note 1 at 24.

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ State Plan Amendment 2012-003, Rehabilitative Services [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/SPA2012-003.pdf>]; DOM, *supra* note 10.

¹⁶ DOM, *supra* note 10 at 5.

¹⁷ See 42 U.S.C. § 1396d (a)(29)(B).

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

for Medicaid.¹⁸ Most Medicaid beneficiaries in Mississippi now have their care coordinated by a managed care provider, though a subset of beneficiaries continue to receive services through a traditional fee for service model.¹⁹

DOM establishes billing rates for services for community-based mental health services.²⁰ DOM also establishes caps on the number of units that an individual may receive under fee-for-service Medicaid.²¹ Because Medicaid is a federal-state partnership, the federal government contributes a share of the cost of Medicaid services. The share paid for by each state varies, with Mississippi receiving the highest federal participation rate in the country at 75.65% in FY 2018, meaning that the federal government pays more than three dollars for every one dollar Mississippi pays for Medicaid services.²² In FY 2017, Mississippi budgeted \$30 million for its State share of the Medicaid cost for community mental health services.²³

Supported housing for people with mental illness in Mississippi is primarily funded and administered through Mississippi Home Corporation (MHC). Between fiscal years 2015 and 2018, MHC spent \$3.8 million on the supported housing program, called CHOICE.²⁴

Mental Health Systems with Effective Community-Based Care Reduce Reliance on State Hospitals

State hospitals, historically called asylums or mental institutions, have existed in the United States since the late 18th century, and for nearly 200 years, the state hospital was the primary option for the treatment of persons with serious mental illness.²⁵ In 1955, the peak census year

¹⁸ DOM, Eligibility Policy and Procedures Manual, March 2018, 101.02.02, 105 [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Chapter-101.pdf>].

¹⁹ State Plan Amendment, *supra* note 15; DOM, Medicaid's Coordinated Care Program Continues to Evolve and Expand [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/medicaids-coordinated-care-program-continues-to-evolve-and-expand/>].

²⁰ DOM establishes rates for fee for service participants in Medicaid. Those rates provide a floor for managed care organization reimbursements. *See* Fee Schedule for Community/Private Mental Health Centers, Effective July 1, 2018 [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf>].

²¹ *Id.* The caps do not operate as hard limits for people receiving care through managed care organizations.

²² Kaiser Family Foundation, Federal Medical Assistance Percentage FY 2018 [<https://www.kff.org/medicaid/state-indicator/federal-matching-rate-and-multiplier/?currentTimeframe=0&sortModel=%7B%22colId%22:%22Location%22,%22sort%22:%22asc%22%7D>].

²³ Recalculation for Anna using FY17 revised [MS-00115749].

²⁴ CHOICE FEB 2018 Summary [MHC-00017482].

²⁵ NIH, U.S. National Library of Medicine, Early Psychiatric Hospitals & Asylums, Jan. 18, 2017, [<https://www.nlm.nih.gov/hmd/diseases/early.html#1752>].

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

for these institutions, there were more than 550,000 people residing in these facilities.²⁶ At that time there was not a solid evidence base for effective community-based mental health treatment. Central to the earliest identity of state hospitals was the element of isolation. State hospitals were intentionally placed in remote locations away from home and families, and operated as “total institutions,” which means that all aspects of life were conducted in the same place and under a single authority, and each phase of the member’s daily activity was carried out in the immediate company of a large batch of others, all of whom were treated alike and required to do the same thing together, with all phases of the day’s activities tightly scheduled.²⁷

Community-Based Mental Health Care Changed the Role of State Hospitals

The mental health clinical landscape began to change in the 1950s and 1960s. Particularly with the advent of new medications and community-based therapeutic choices, people who were previously relegated to state hospitals were being served successfully in the community²⁸ With the growing recognition that institutionalization caused harm, there was a significant shift in the role of state hospitals. In support of the newly developed community-based services, the Community Mental Health Act of 1963 (CMHC Act) provided for grants to the states for construction of an envisioned 1500 community mental health centers nationwide.²⁹

Subsequent to the passage of the CMHC Act, state hospitals began a process of rapid transformation in both size and function. In 1950, there were 322 state and county psychiatric hospitals in the United States, which hospitalized over 500,000 people per year.³⁰ By 2005, there were fewer than 225 state and county psychiatric hospitals left, serving about 50,000 people, a tenth of the numbers served in the 1950s.³¹ The focus in state hospitals turned to psychiatric illnesses and away from serving people with alcohol disorders, organic brain syndrome, drug disorders, developmental disabilities, and the elderly.³²

The CMHC Act was the first of many laws, regulations, and court decisions at the federal level that recognized the effectiveness of community services and their ability to decrease reliance on

²⁶ Lutterman, T. & Mandersheid, R., Trends in Total Psychiatric Inpatient and Other 24-Hour Mental Health Residential Treatment Capacity, 1970-2014, NASMHPD Commissioners Meeting (July 31, 2017), (slide 10) [https://www.nasmhpd.org/sites/default/files/2%20NRI-2017%20NRI%20Meeting--Distribution%20of%20Psychiatric%20Inpatient%20Capacity%2C%20United%20States_0.pdf].

²⁷ Goffman, Erving, *Asylums: Essays on the Social Situation of Mental Patients and Other Inmates* (1961).

²⁸ See Fisher, William H., Geller, Jeffrey., and Pandiani, J., (2009) “The Changing Role of the State Psychiatric Hospital”, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 28, No. 3, Page 7, [<https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/pdf/10.1377/hlthaff.28.3.676>].

²⁹ 42 U.S.C. § 2689. This was repealed and replaced with the legislation providing the federal community mental health block grant, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300x *et seq.*

³⁰ Lutterman, *supra* note 26.

³¹ *Id.*

³² Lutterman, T., Shaw, R., Fisher, W. & Manderscheid, R. (August 2017) “Trend in Psychiatric Inpatient Capacity, United States and Each State,” 5 [<https://www.nri-inc.org/media/1319/tac-paper-10-psychiatric-inpatient-capacity-final-09-05-2017.pdf>].

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

institutional care. The establishment of Medicaid in 1965 provided federally funded insurance to people with disabilities, including mental illnesses. Within Medicaid, Congress created a financial disincentive to the use of state hospitals—the Institution for Mental Disease Exclusion Rule precludes Medicaid matching payments for persons between 21 and 64 years old who are in state hospitals, nursing facilities, or other institutions primarily engaged in providing diagnosis and treatment of care of persons with mental illness.³³ Federal Mental Health Block Grant funds for inpatient services are also dedicated to community services.³⁴ And the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the associated regulations, and the Supreme Court’s *Olmstead* decision establish the right to receive services in the most integrated setting, a right that relies on the availability of community-based alternatives to hospitalization.³⁵

As mental health policy and service utilization tilted away from institutions to community services, so did funding patterns in most areas of the country. During the 35 years between 1981 and 2015, state hospital spending increased by 143% nationally, while community mental health expenditures increased by 1,427%.³⁶

The rights established by federal law and the incentives established through funding policy have moved mental health systems across the country toward community services as a preferable alternative to institution-based care and they have led to an evolution in the functioning of state hospitals. The state hospitals shifted from self-contained providers, to specialized providers playing a limited role in an integrated service continuum.³⁷ State hospitals moved from total institutions where people lived, to being a small component of the service array used in extraordinary circumstances and for very brief periods. As explained below, the Mississippi State Hospitals have not yet made this transition. DMH has not created a unified system of care across the hospitals and community mental health centers. The result is a fragmented treatment experience for people receiving services that undermines their progress towards recovery.

Mississippi Acknowledges the Changed Landscape of Mental Health Treatment

Eventually recognizing the changed approach to care for people with disabilities, in 2001 the Mississippi legislature mandated that the State agencies develop a plan to serve people with disabilities in the most integrated setting appropriate.³⁸ A report by the legislature’s Performance Evaluation and Expenditure Review (PEER) committee in 2008 reiterated the need to shift to a community-based service system for people with mental illness—a move already made by other states.³⁹ Since that time, DMH has engaged in strategic planning and has incorporated goals related to the expansion of community-based services in its strategic plans.

³³ Parks, Joe, and Radke, Alan, (July 2014) “The Vital Role of State Psychiatric Hospitals”, NASMHPD Technical Report 18, 9.

³⁴ Lutterman, *supra* note 32 at 9.

³⁵ *Id.*

³⁶ *Id.* at 51.

³⁷ Parks, *supra* note 33 at 23-26.

³⁸ Mississippi Access to Care, Sept. 30, 2001, 3 [MS-00013155].

³⁹ Joint Legislative Committee on Performance Evaluation and Expenditure Review (PEER), Planning for the Delivery of Mental Health Services in Mississippi (2008) cover page [USDOJ-0000336].

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

The first goal of DMH's current strategic plan is "[t]o increase access to community-based care and supports for adults and children with mental illness and/or substance use disorders through a network of service providers that are committed to a person-centered and recovery-oriented system of care."⁴⁰

Key Community-Based Services Can Reduce Reliance on Hospital Placements

Extensive research demonstrates that persons who have been patients at state hospitals can be effectively served in the community. Virtually all individuals once served in the state hospital can be served in the community when: (1) comprehensive services are available; (2) there is a public health approach to managing care across all locations of service; and (3) there is a recovery framework in the system of care.

Key services that reduce the need for hospitalizations include (1) crisis services, (2) Assertive Community Treatment (also called ACT or PACT), (3) intensive case management, (4) peer support, (5) supported employment, and (6) permanent supported housing. These services can be provided in conjunction with traditional office-based therapy and medication management. In many studies over long periods of time, the findings have been consistent: former hospital patients can transition to successful community living with the support of community-based services.⁴¹ Over the past 25 years, multiple studies in the U.S. and abroad have validated the significant impact that PACT teams have had on reducing hospital admissions and overall bed days.⁴² Similarly, strong research over the last three decades chronicles the ways that mental health systems around the world have implemented crisis response programs that are ever more adept at resolving psychiatric emergencies without resorting to hospital admissions.⁴³ More recent research also identifies the beneficial impact of supported employment and peer support services in allowing people to live stable lives in their communities.⁴⁴ Leadership in

⁴⁰ Mississippi Board of Mental Health, FY2019-FY2021 Strategic Plan, Mississippi Board of Mental Health, 9 [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/FY19-FY21-DMH-Strategic-Plan-Final.pdf>].

⁴¹ McGraw, J., Bond, G., Dretan, L., et al (2006) "A multisite study of Client Outcomes in Assertive Community Treatment", *Psychiatric Services*, 1995, 46:696-701; Olfson, M. (1990) Assertive Community Treatment: An Evaluation of the Experimental Evidence", *Hospital and Community Psychiatry*, 1999, 41:634-641; Bond, G., Miller, L., Krumwred, R., et al, "Assertive Case Management in Three CMHCs: A Controlled Study", *Hospital and Community Psychiatry*, 1988, 39:422-418.

⁴² Clausen, et al. (2016) "Hospitalisation of Severely Mentally Ill Patients With and Without Problematic Substance Use before and During Assertive Community Treatment: An Observational Cohort Study," *BMC Psychiatry* 16:125.

⁴³ See NASMHPD, Assessment #3: Crisis Services' Role in Reducing Avoidable Hospitalization (2017); Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, "Crisis Services: Effectiveness, Cost Effectiveness, and Funding Strategies," HHS Publication No. (SMA)-14-4848.

⁴⁴ Luciano, A. et al, (October 2016) "Hospitalization Risk Before and After Employment Among Adults with Schizophrenia, Bipolar Disorder, or Major Depression," *Psychiatric Services*, 67:10; Hoffmann, H., Jackel, D., Glauser, S., Mueser, K. T., & Kupper, Z. (2014), "Long-term effectiveness of supported employment: 5-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial," *Am J Psychiatry*, 171(11), 1183-1190; Chien, W. T., & Thompson, D. R. (2013) "An RCT With Three-Year Follow-Up of Peer Support Groups

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Mississippi's mental health service system also recognizes that increasing utilization of these community-based services will reduce the need for hospitalizations⁴⁵ and DMH and DOM regulations incorporate many of these effective services.⁴⁶

Crisis Services

Even with effective ongoing supports, crises will arise that require an immediate, intensive response to help individuals stabilize in their communities and avoid hospitalizations. An effective crisis response system that diverts people from hospitalizations includes crisis hotlines, walk-in crisis services, mobile crisis teams, and crisis stabilization beds.

Crisis hotlines often provide the first point of contact with the mental health system for individuals experiencing a psychiatric emergency. Emergency hotlines should operate 24 hours a day, 365 days a year and provide screening, triage, assessment, and information and referral services. Warm lines are intended to provide social support to persons who are not in crisis. They are often staffed by peer workers who can make access to care less daunting for people who need assistance.⁴⁷

Walk-in crisis services operate on the "urgent care" model that is prevalent in medical care settings. People can come to a center without an appointment and be seen quickly. Typically, they provide screening and assessment, brief treatment, and linkage to ongoing services.⁴⁸

Mobile crisis teams have been an essential anchor of psychiatric emergency systems for over 40 years. Typically, they are available 24 hours a day to respond to people in their communities. Team members go to homes, schools, emergency rooms, or wherever a person is in crisis. Usually, these teams are staffed by licensed clinicians, with physician backup, and they may also include a peer support specialist. They are skilled at de-escalating crises and making clinical determinations regarding the need for hospital admission. Once the presenting incident is resolved, the teams play an important role in connecting people to options for ongoing services.⁴⁹

Mississippi has recognized the value of mobile crisis, explaining: "Without mobile crisis intervention, an individual experiencing a crisis may be inappropriately and unnecessarily placed in a jail, holding facility, hospital, or inpatient treatment program."⁵⁰

for Chinese Families of Persons With Schizophrenia," *Psychiatric Services*, 64(10), 997-1005; Dixon, L. B., Holoshitz, Y., & Nossel, I. (2016), "Treatment engagement of individuals experiencing mental illness: review and update," *World Psychiatry*, 15(1), 13-20.

⁴⁵ Allen Deposition, 26, 35.

⁴⁶ See State Plan Amendment 2012-003, *supra* note 15; DOM, *supra* note 10.

⁴⁷ See Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *supra* note 43 at 11.

⁴⁸ Technical Assistance Collaborative, A Community-Based Comprehensive Psychiatric Crisis Response Services: An Informational and Instructional Monograph, April 2005, 9.

⁴⁹ *Id.* at 9-10.

⁵⁰ DMH, *supra* note 1 at 4.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Crisis residential, crisis apartments, or respite services provide a structured, safe environment where individuals may go to recover from a psychiatric emergency if they need to be out of their home environment for a short period of time, but do not meet clinical criteria for hospitalization. Depending on the model, staffing can include clinicians, paraprofessionals, peer support staff, or a mix of all three. After resolution of the crisis, staff connect the individual to ongoing services.⁵¹

Crisis Stabilization Units (CSUs) serve people who are experiencing an acute crisis and need 24-hour supervision and treatment for a brief period. While similar to traditional inpatient care, these programs are focused on crisis resolution and rapid return home.⁵² The typical length of stay in CSUs is less than 5 days.⁵³ As with mobile crisis and crisis respite services, connecting individuals with ongoing support is a key element of crisis stabilization unit operations. DMH has explained that with the current CSU structure, “[a]n individual can now receive services before they decompensate to the point of meeting commitment criteria.”⁵⁴

Assembling crisis services as a comprehensive response system closely aligned with ongoing services, provides an essential safety net that allows people with significant mental illnesses to live successfully in the community. When determining whether a person who is in crisis and may pose a risk to himself or others can be treated in a less restrictive alternative, Mississippi’s CMHC clinicians and chancery courts are constrained by the available resources. Where alternatives to hospitalization are available, they can rely on those options in many cases. My review found that the bones of a crisis service system exist in Mississippi, but key elements of the system, such as mobile crisis and crisis residential services, must be strengthened and expanded.

PACT and Intensive Case Management

PACT and Intensive Case Management are also core elements that minimize or eliminate the need for hospitalization. PACT services are provided by a multi-disciplinary treatment team that has near daily contact with those receiving its services. It is an evidence-based practice (i.e. a practice that has been extensively studied and whose results have been demonstrated) that is used to support people who have not been successful using traditional services. Teams include psychiatrists, nurses, peers with lived experience, employment support specialists, and clinicians, so that they can address all areas of a client’s life.⁵⁵ Some areas of the country have also established specialized PACT teams to serve rural regions.⁵⁶ Intensive case management is a

⁵¹ Technical Assistance Collaborative, *supra* note 48 at 10-11.

⁵² See Technical Assistance Collaborative, *supra* note 48 at 11; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *supra* note 43 at 9-10.

⁵³ Technical Assistance Collaborative, *supra* note 48 at 11. In Mississippi average lengths of stay in CSUs are currently more than 9 days.

⁵⁴ Mississippi Works to Improve Crisis Services, Press Release, May 5, 2014 [MS-00053133].

⁵⁵ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *supra* note 43 at 5-6, 14.

⁵⁶ Koch, Hannah, *Supporting Evidence-Based and Promising Practices: Assertive Community Treatment Fidelity and Rural Considerations*, SAMHSA, March 20, 2018 [https://www.nri-inc.org/media/1414/olmstead2018_ebp_koch_revised.pdf].

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

flexible, mobile service provided by one person or a small team that assists people to make connections, maintain their medication use, and remain stable in their homes and communities.⁵⁷

Having a consistent connection with an individual or a team provides essential relational connections for persons trying to break a crisis driven pattern of repeated hospitalizations and brief community stays. Case managers or PACT team members will have opportunities to de-escalate crises and avoid disruptive inpatient stays. As people learn more about the cycles of their illness through psychoeducation, they are better able to predict when they will need intensified supports and can communicate this to their PACT Team or case manager. PACT team members and case managers may also engage an individual's loved ones in psychoeducation to teach coping skills and strategies for managing mental illness. PACT services are provided at an as-needed level of intensity. Generally, people require more intensive services when initially discharged, but less so over time (with increases to correspond with acute need), often transitioning from PACT to a different, less intensive, model of service delivery.⁵⁸

Intensive case management provides varying level of support over time to individuals who need assistance building skills to manage the challenges of life. A provider comes to the person's home or community and works with the individual to address needs and develop skills. With regular support, people are often able to maintain stability and integrate into their communities, identifying meaningful activities and natural supports. While some people may need only intermittent or monthly contact, others, particularly those with a history of hospitalization, likely need more frequent support.

DMH and DOM leadership recognize that PACT and case management can reduce hospitalizations.⁵⁹ Nonetheless, as explained below, PACT is available in select regions in extremely limited quantities, and case management is not provided intensively.

Peer Support

Peer supports are another important element that yields successful outcomes in community living.⁶⁰ Peer support specialists are people with lived experience of mental illness and recovery who can offer support from a position of empathy and understanding. They give hope to people who are struggling with developing new and better responses to the challenge of living with a serious mental illness. Peers are often included as members of mobile crisis teams and can also be paired with an Intensive Case Manager to increase the support for an individual. Peer drop-in centers that offer more informal opportunities to engage with peers, receive support, and build community are another way to use the skills and wisdom that peers afford.

⁵⁷ See Charles Rapp and Richards Goscha (2004) "The Principles of Effective Case Management of Mental Health Services," *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol 27, No. 4, 319-333.

⁵⁸ Huz, S., et al. (June 2017) "Time in Assertive Community Treatment: A Statewide Quality Improvement Initiative to Reduce Length of Participation," *Psychiatric Services* 68:6.

⁵⁹ Day Deposition, 192, 224-25; Fleming Deposition, 112; Toten Deposition, 41.

⁶⁰ See HHS, Office of Disability, Aging and Long-Term Care Policy (2015), *An Assessment of Innovative Models of Peer Support Services in Behavioral Health to Reduce Preventable Acute Hospitalization and Readmission*; Chien, W. T., *supra* note 44 at 997-1005; Dixon, L. B., *supra* note 44 at 13-20.

Describing the role of peer support, DMH has explained, “[Peer support specialists] contribute something unique. They are living proof that recovery is possible. CPSSs share lived experiences and are willing to share their stories to benefit others.”⁶¹ Yet, as described explored below, in some regions very few people receive this critical service.

Supported Employment

Supported employment is an evidence-based practice that supports individuals to find and maintain paid, competitive employment.⁶² Work can promote stability and connection to the community. DMH explained the value of supported employment, noting that, “Integration is an essential part of a person's recovery, and employment can be an essential part of integration. Employment offers not only independence and a source of income, but opportunities for interaction with all kinds of people, learning experiences in numerous areas and a greater chance for integration with the community as a whole.”⁶³ Nonetheless, as explained below, supported employment is offered only in four pilot regions and is funded exclusively through State grants.

Permanent Supported Housing

Permanent supported housing, consisting of safe and affordable housing and the support services that enable people to remain stable in those homes, is the foundation to successful community living for many individuals living with a psychiatric disability. Permanent supported housing providers assist people with a range of activities such as locating housing, working with landlords, supporting employment or obtaining benefits, and facilitating connections with clinicians and other services. People in permanent supported housing choose their own roommates, or choose not to have a roommate.⁶⁴ Having a stable living situation that is not tied to compliance with a proscribed treatment regime is a key precursor to recovery and often eliminates crises that result in hospitalizations.⁶⁵ However, as I describe below, it is currently not serving people in all counties and some mental health providers are not even aware of the program.

Mississippi State Hospitals

Mississippi's State Hospitals are heavily regimented places where individuals are not allowed to make basic choices about their daily activities and associations. For example, at EMSH,

⁶¹ Certified Peer Support Specialist Provider Toolkit, page 4 [MS-00007067]; Day Deposition at 258.

⁶² See Drake, R., et al. (2016) “Individual Placement And Support Services Boost Employment For People With Serious Mental Illnesses, But Funding Is Lacking,” *Health Aff (Millwood)*, 35(6), 1098-1105; Hoffmann, H., *supra* note 44 at 1183-1190.

⁶³ DMH, Strategic Plan Highlights, FY15 Third Quarter [MS-00008774].

⁶⁴ See Rog, D. et al. (2014) “Permanent Supportive Housing: Assessing the Evidence,” *Psychiatric Services*, 65(3), 287-294.

⁶⁵ See NASMHPD, Assessment #4: The Role of Permanent Supportive Housing in Determining Psychiatric Inpatient Bed Capacity (2017).

admission to the Hospital begins with a full body search and search of belongings.⁶⁶ In-person visits and phone communication with family, friends, or other people who do not live in the Hospitals are tightly controlled: “[Residents] may place telephone calls on courtesy telephones according to the unit schedule, and the privilege level of the [resident].”⁶⁷ Individuals cannot choose their own roommates,⁶⁸ and all activities, including meals, snacks, sleeping, waking, TV-watching, and recreational activities are conducted according to schedules that the Hospitals create and impose unit-wide.⁶⁹ State Hospitals also institute level-systems, in which patients wear colored arm-bands that indicate whether an individual can have visitors and whether they may wear personal items such as watches and wedding rings.⁷⁰

My visit to East Mississippi State Hospital in February of 2018 reinforced the impression created by my policy review. Every room looked exactly the same: two beds, two small dressers, the same quilts, no decorations on the walls. Signs indicated that “[a]ctivity rooms are locked.” Unit schedules did not leave much time for individual treatment. When asked about patient choice among activities, one of the unit managers responded, “They all get the same thing.” In a visually impactful illustration of the way EMSH patients are stigmatized, persons being admitted to the hospital are transported in sheriff’s vehicles, often in handcuffs. When going into the community on recreational trips, they are transported in vehicles with “Eastern Mississippi State Hospital” prominently displayed on the doors.

The State acknowledges, and my review confirms, that the State Hospitals are institutions.⁷¹

Impact of Institutional Placement in State Hospitals

Psychiatric inpatient hospitalization can provide necessary therapeutic support under limited circumstances, but these benefits come with considerable risks that include:

⁶⁶ East Mississippi State Hospital (EMSH), Admissions Policy (301-13), 3B(1) [MS-00018427].

⁶⁷ EMSH, Telephone Use By Individuals Receiving Services, 3B [MS-00018564]. *See also* EMSH, Visitors Policy, [MS-00018566] (restricting time of visits & limiting to individuals 12 and older); South Mississippi State Hospital (SMH), Patient Orientation Information [MS-00021997], 6 (no visitors under age 12 without special orders from a psychiatrist, one incoming one outgoing call per day, 5 min per call); North Mississippi State Hospital (NMSH), Patient Orientation Information, [MS-00021841], 7 (Phone Usage rules and restrictions); Mississippi State Hospital (MSH), Program Manual, 2016 [MS-00019304], 58 (phone policy allowing 5 minute phone calls according to unit schedules).

⁶⁸ EMSH, Room Assignments Policy, 3B [MS-00018559].

⁶⁹ MSH, Building 39 Global Schedule 2017 [MS-00019250]; MSH, Building 45 Global Schedule [MS-00019252]; NMSH, Programming and Activities Schedules [MS-00021844]; NMSH, Patient Orientation Information [MS-00021841], 7 (describing meal times, sleep/wake schedule, and restrictions on television watching); SMH, Patient Orientation Information [MS-00021997], 6 (meal schedule), 7 (bed & waking times); EMSH, Unit schedules at [MS-00018442], [MS-00018445], [MS-00018451], [MS-00018454]; EMSH, meal times schedule at [MS-00018463].

⁷⁰ MSH, Medical Psychiatry Unit Program Manual 2016, Appendix B, 22 [MS-00019309] (describing armband level system); SMH, Patient Orientation Information, 4-5 [MS-00021997] (Level System with Armbands described); NMSH, Patient Orientation Information, 1, 3 [MS-00021841] (Level System with Armbands, privilege of wearing wedding ring and watch).

⁷¹ Stipulation signed by the Parties on April 3, 2018.

- Loss of control over one's own life
- Stigma
- Loss of basic human rights
- Physical injury
- Psychological trauma
- Potential retraumatization
- Segregation away from one's family, home, social network, and source of income.

The potential for these consequences varies depending on the person's underlying condition, the environment on the hospital unit; the degree to which the individual is involved in his or her treatment decisions; the training and attitudes of staff; and the degree to which a person remains connected to his or her prior life while hospitalized. Most of these outcomes are risks regardless of the duration of an inpatient stay.

Authorities in the field since the 1960s have confirmed the above. In Erving Goffman's seminal work on institutions and their impact on people, he identifies disabilities as "attributes that are deeply discrediting" and further notes that because of this, people with disabilities are marginalized, mistreated, and stigmatized by society.⁷² The harms caused by institutional settings are collectively known as "institutionalization syndrome," which is "the syndrome first recognized and described in inpatient psychiatric facilities, which is now used to describe a set of maladaptive behaviors that are evoked from the pressures of living in any institutionalized setting."⁷³ Patients become habituated to the routines, structures, and lack of control that are central to life in state hospitals. They become deskilled and fearful about resuming their lives in the community.

Nationally, since most long stay patients left the hospitals during the 70's and 80's, there are relatively few people left who are victims of this consequence of long-term hospital stay, Mississippi, however, continues to use the State Hospital for very long-term stays of 180 days or more, with 8%, or 319 of the 3,952 individuals who were in a Mississippi State Hospital between October of 2015 and October of 2017 experiencing a stay of more than 180 days.⁷⁴ For these individuals, the development of passive dependent "sick role" behavior associated with institutionalization, is an on-going risk.

As our understanding has grown regarding the impact of traumatic events on an individual's well-being, there has been a parallel rise in awareness of the importance of listening to the voices of mental health consumers regarding what they experience as helpful versus harmful in their

⁷² Goffman, E., *Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity*, (1963), 3. See also Chow, W. & Priebe, S. (2013) "Understanding Psychiatric Institutionalization: A Conceptual Review," *BMC Psychiatry*, 13:169, 9-10.

⁷³ Johnson, M. and Rhodes, R., (Spring 2007) "Institutionalization: A Theory of Human Behavior and the Social Environment," *Advances in Social Work*, Vol. 8 No. 1, 219.

⁷⁴ Descriptive Statistics, Expert Report of Todd MacKenzie.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

interface with the treatment system.⁷⁵ The experiences that people receiving services have shared with me over the years evoke the concept of “sanctuary trauma,” a term coined to define the experience of individuals who turn to social systems for help, only to find themselves traumatized or retraumatized by those very institutions.⁷⁶

In an important study of consumer self-reports about their experiences on inpatient units, Karen J. Cusack, et al, interviewed 57 individuals who had used the public psychiatric hospitals that were part of the South Carolina DMH system.⁷⁷ Forty-seven percent reported experiencing a DSM IV-defined traumatic event while in the hospital. The most frequent events were witnessing physical assaults (22%) and experiencing a physical assault (18%).⁷⁸ Summarizing the results of the study, the authors stated, “This study provides initial empirical support for concerns raised by consumer and advocacy groups that the psychiatric setting can be a frightening and/or dangerous environment. In general, the results of this study indicate that mental health consumers have experienced a number of traumatic, humiliating, or distressing events during their hospitalization. In addition, results indicate that consumers are adversely affected by these experiences.”⁷⁹

The findings of this study and the other research noted above are aligned with feedback I received from trauma survivors and mental health providers when I was Commissioner of Mental Health in Maine. People who used public mental health systems wanted alternatives to hospitalization.

People with Mental Illness, Like People without Disabilities, Prefer to Live in the Community

Available studies show that people with mental illness nearly universally prefer to live in integrated community settings rather than in institutions. One article reviewed the findings of eight studies that surveyed consumers about their experiences of community re-entry following inpatient care and their preferences for hospital versus community living.⁸⁰

The reviewed studies surveyed a total of 415 clients with severe disabilities and extended periods of hospitalization. They lived in the U.S., the United Kingdom, and Canada. When asked about their preference for community versus hospital living, 98% stated a clear preference for the community. Reasons for this choice included the freedom, autonomy, mobility, privacy, safety, and proximity to friends and family that community living afforded. Conversely, participants identified the disadvantages of hospitalization as becoming stigmatized and rejected, and the loss

⁷⁵ Cusack, K., et al., (May 2003) “Trauma Within the Psychiatric Setting: A Preliminary Empirical Report.” *Administration and Policy in Mental Health*, Vol. 30:5, 454
[<http://psychrights.org/articles/psychiatrictrauma.pdf>].

⁷⁶ *Id.*

⁷⁷ *Id.* at 455.

⁷⁸ *Id.* at 457.

⁷⁹ *Id.* at 458.

⁸⁰ Davidson, L. et al., (1996) “Hospital or Community Living? Examining Consumer Perspectives on Deinstitutionalization,” *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol. 19:3, 51-55.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

of autonomy, privacy and dignity. Given choice, people want to live in communities, surrounded by people that they choose, engaging in activities that are gratifying to them.

During the course of my career, regardless of the position, it has been my practice to talk to as many service recipients as possible, to try to ascertain their satisfaction with the services I was involved with providing. I do not recall a service recipient who told me they preferred hospital to community living. The same was true of my time in Mississippi. During a meeting in March of 2018 with individuals who had used the state hospitals in Mississippi, people expressed a strong preference for community living as opposed to institutional care. Some of their comments in this regard were:

- “Being homeless is better than being in a State Hospital”
- “People don’t feel safe” [in the State Hospitals]
- “You are herded around like cattle” [in the State Hospitals]⁸¹

The client review in this case similarly found that nearly all the sampled clients were open to receiving services in the community rather than at State Hospitals.⁸²

My opinion was also confirmed by state officials who acknowledge the general preference for community living. In her deposition on March 29, 2018, Veronica Vaughn, then-Director of Adult Services for the Mississippi Department of Mental Health, addressed the issue of whether consumers prefer community living to being in the hospital. In response to a question about whether a person would choose a community-based living arrangement over an institutional one, Ms. Vaughn responded, “I would expect them to choose the community.”⁸³ In response to the question, “Why would you think that a person would choose a community-based living arrangement over an institutional one?” Ms. Vaughn responded, “More freedom ...it’s not ideal to live in a hospital.”⁸⁴

Mississippi Continues to Rely Heavily Upon Segregated Institutional Care for People with Mental Illness

Though Mississippi has long known that it over-relies on State Hospitals and must shift resources to the community, it has been slow to do so. To make the necessary shift to a community-based system of care, Mississippi needs a clear vision for its community-based system, a long-term plan for realizing the vision with clear, measurable targets, effective strategies for building the community system, and strong leadership advocating for, in some cases, difficult changes. In my experience, when service planning it is important to consider data, such as clinical evidence of need, utilization, billing, stakeholder input, cost, human capital, and available resources. Mississippi has not taken the necessary steps to achieve a community-based system of care.

⁸¹ Meeting at Opal Smith Peer Drop In Center.

⁸² Email from Robert E Drake, July 25, 2018 [USDOJ-0011092].

⁸³ Vaughn Deposition, 29.

⁸⁴ *Id.* at 29-30.

Availability of Community-Based Services is Insufficient and Inconsistent

Since at least 2010,⁸⁵ Mississippi has funded scattered evidence-based programs essential to reducing the use of State Hospitals, but they are not available in sufficient quantity to meet existing need, and the State has failed to ensure geographic access to these services throughout the State. A state's role in administering mental health services includes designing the service array to include necessary services, creating structures to manage the delivery, funding services, ensuring those services are available throughout the state in sufficient quantities, and providing technical assistance and oversight to manage the quality of services.

The State has been aware of the limited availability of key community-based services and lack of coverage across geographic regions of the state for many years. For example, in a FY 2013 strategic planning exercise, the State identified inconsistencies in service availability across the state, and the implementation of evidence-based services pilot programs in isolated areas of the state as weaknesses in its community mental health system.⁸⁶ Similarly, in 2014, the PEER Committee issued a report to the State Legislature which examined the decision by DMH and the Mississippi State Hospital to redirect resources from the hospital's Community Services Division back to inpatient care.⁸⁷ Recognizing the inadequacy of community-based services, the report urged the State to "follow the mandates of state law," and provide core mental health services to those who need them, ensuring that such services are, "accessible and delivered preferably in the communities where these citizens live."⁸⁸

Despite years of attention, progress toward ensuring that community mental health services are available to all has been slow. By its own measure, DMH found that in FY 2017, 39% of the State's population continued to lack access to community based mental health services.⁸⁹ Further, at his recent deposition, former Adult Community Services Bureau Director Andrew Day confirmed that none of the community-based services are available throughout the State.⁹⁰

To the extent that the state fails to offer the critical array of services to people at risk of entering a State Hospital, it will continue to rely upon the restrictive setting of the State Hospital. Medical Director Robert Maddux explained that "it's quite frequent" that an individual remains in the hospital because a discharge destination has not been identified.⁹¹ Currently many key services are available only in pockets of the State and/or are provided in very limited quantities. For example, the State has developed eight PACT teams, leaving many regions without any PACT services.⁹² Similarly only four of fourteen CMHC regions have supported employment

⁸⁵ Charts Data for Meeting with Governor, 7.17, 3 [MS-00015033].

⁸⁶ DMH, SWOT 2, Weaknesses, 3 [MS-00014902].

⁸⁷ PEER, A Review of the Closure of the Mississippi State Hospital's Community Services Division, 2014 [USDOJ-00003338].

⁸⁸ *Id.* at 51.

⁸⁹ DMH, FY18 Performance Measures, 1 [MS-00017889].

⁹⁰ Day Deposition, 69.

⁹¹ Maddux Deposition, 147.

⁹² DMH, *supra* note 89 at 1.

for people with mental illness,⁹³ and the CHOICE permanent supported housing program does not serve individuals in every region.⁹⁴ Making these critical services available to people who are in or at risk of entering a State Hospital is central to reducing the State's reliance on institutionalization.

Examples of the insufficiencies in the current service array follow.

1. Crisis Services

Mobile crisis has been a Medicaid billable service in the State since 2012 and all community mental health center regions also receive grants for this service.

Nonetheless, the service is provided unevenly. I learned from a former mental health center employee in one region that because of where the mobile crisis team is based within the region, it only responds to crises in one of the covered counties.⁹⁵ The disparate service availability is also demonstrated by the data on the number of people who received any mobile crisis service by region. In all of 2017 one CMHC region provided only 17 after-hours mobile crisis responses and only 170 mobile crisis responses total, while another region provided 381 after-hours mobile responses and 7,552 total.⁹⁶ One region provided only 22% of its crisis responses face to face.⁹⁷ And all the regions I spoke with primarily offer "mobile" crisis services at their offices during normal business hours.⁹⁸ In effect, many regions are primarily providing walk-in crisis services in place of mobile teams. Mobile, face-to-face crisis response is a critical, front-line service that can reduce inpatient utilization and should be expanded.

The State is not maximizing the Medicaid reimbursement for this service and is instead supporting the service almost exclusively with State grant dollars.⁹⁹ In fiscal year 2017 the State reported that 15,668 people received face-to-face mobile crisis services, but in calendar year 2017 the two Medicaid managed care companies paid for a total of 817 people to receive the crisis response service.¹⁰⁰ Billing varied widely by CMHC region—in 2017 two regions received no managed care funds for this service.¹⁰¹ This was especially surprising because the crisis response database shows 7,012 mobile crisis contacts with people on Medicaid.¹⁰² While some State funding will always be necessary to pay for start-up costs and crisis services to those

⁹³ DMH, Community Expansion Funds, 2016, 2 [USDOJ-0000889].

⁹⁴ Meeting with MUTEH; Mississippi's Annual Affordable Housing Conference 2018, All Aboard: Reaching the Special Needs Population, Map of Counties Served, 20 [USDOJ-0008426].

⁹⁵ Call with Chancery Court Clerk, May 1, 2018.

⁹⁶ Mobile Crisis Response Team Data Report, Southwest MS Mental Health Complex [USDOJ-0011208]; Mobile Crisis Response Team Data Report, Region 8 Mental Health Services [USDOJ-0011170].

⁹⁷ Mobile Crisis Response Team Data Report, Singing River Services [USDOJ-0011247].

⁹⁸ CMHC Interviews.

⁹⁹ DMH, *supra* note 1 at 4.

¹⁰⁰ *Id.*; Berkeley Research Group, Analyses for Systems Expert [USDOJ-0008432]; Email from Berkeley Research Group, July 26, 2018.

¹⁰¹ See Berkeley Research Group, Analyses for Systems Expert [USDOJ-0008432].

¹⁰² Mobile Crisis Response Team Data Report, Summary [USDOJ-0010910].

who are uninsured, it appears that the State is not requiring or incentivizing providers to maximize Medicaid reimbursement for crisis response.

Crisis residential services and stabilization units likewise are available only in parts of the State, although regions without crisis residential are currently growing that service.¹⁰³ The State has recognized that, like mobile crisis services, crisis residential services decrease reliance on inpatient care, explaining that CSUs “offer time-limited residential treatment services designed to serve adults with severe mental health episodes that if not addressed would likely result in the need for inpatient care.”¹⁰⁴ Over 3,100 people were served in the eight existing CSUs in FY 2017, with average lengths of stay of 9.53 days.¹⁰⁵ Like the mobile crisis service, these also are primarily, unnecessarily funded by DMH grants despite being Medicaid reimbursable.¹⁰⁶ The two Medicaid managed care companies paid for only 433 people to receive the crisis residential service in 2017.¹⁰⁷ Maximizing Medicaid reimbursement for crisis services, among others, could increase State funds available for additional community-based services. And reducing lengths of stay in CSUs would increase availability of beds to divert additional people from State Hospitals.

2. PACT and Intensive Case Management

In Mississippi, there are currently eight PACT teams. The teams are based in Greenwood, Vicksburg/Yazoo, Hattiesburg, Gulfport/Biloxi, Jackson, DeSoto, Tupelo, and Meridian and served a total of 387 people in fiscal year 2017.¹⁰⁸ Though one of the tasks of PACT team members is to assist people receiving PACT services with enrolling in benefits, the two Medicaid managed care companies paid for PACT services for only 123 people in 2017.¹⁰⁹ There was also variation in billing across the regions who provide PACT, with one region only receiving payment for 4 PACT recipients.¹¹⁰ This is particularly surprising because PACT is provided to people with severe symptoms who have not benefitted from traditional outpatient treatment¹¹¹ and who therefore are more likely to be eligible for Medicaid than the general population of individuals with mental illness. Also surprising was the lack of intensity of service for those who were receiving PACT; the average number of units of PACT that people receiving the services from one of the managed care organization was 48 units per year, while the average number of units for the other one was 165.¹¹² While some PACT recipients certainly taper their

¹⁰³ Allen Deposition at 42-43; DMH Executive Staff Meeting, April 18, 2018 [MS 00144800].

¹⁰⁴ DMH, *supra* note 1 at 17; *See also* Day Deposition at 103, 192.

¹⁰⁵ *Id.*; DMH, *supra* note 4 at 9.

¹⁰⁶ *See id.*

¹⁰⁷ Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101.

¹⁰⁸ DMH, *supra* note 1 at 5.

¹⁰⁹ Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101.

¹¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹¹ DMH, *supra* note 10 at 205. There are ways for the State to do this without discouraging CMHCs from providing PACT to the uninsured. For example, the State could require the teams to provide evidence for each grant funded PACT recipient that they are seeking Medicaid eligibility or that there is a reason the individual is ineligible for Medicaid.

¹¹² Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101. Because the amounts paid by both managed care organizations is similar, it may be that one of the managed care organizations uses 15 minute increments as the “unit” for measurement, while the other organization counts the units in hours.

intensity of service over time, it is surprising that Medicaid paid for so few units for the average recipient.

Not only has the State failed to maximize Medicaid reimbursement for PACT, the State has also failed to utilize all the PACT resources that are currently in place. In total the eight existing teams could serve up to 640 people, but three years after the newest team formed they are nowhere near capacity. Given that 743 people had multiple State Hospital placements between 2015 and 2017, it is difficult to understand why so few people are receiving the limited PACT services currently available.¹¹³ The State does not appear to be incentivizing referrals to the service either, given the low goals for referrals in the State Hospital goals submitted to the Legislative Budget Office.¹¹⁴

Intensive case management could be provided in Mississippi through the Community Support Service, though it is not currently provided as an intensive service. While the maximum number of units a person can currently receive under fee-for-service Medicaid in Mississippi is 400 15-minute increments per year,¹¹⁵ in 2017 the average number of units one managed care organization actually paid for was 15 and the average for the other managed care organization was 65.¹¹⁶ In effect, case management is provided as a monthly check, insufficient support for many people who have experienced mental health crises and institutionalizations.

3. Peer Support

Peer support was established in Mississippi in 2012 and there are now 159 certified Peer Support Specialists.¹¹⁷ Nonetheless, in two regions fewer than five people received peer support through managed care in 2017.¹¹⁸

4. Supported Employment

In Mississippi, this service has been offered through grants in four regions since 2015. In fiscal year 2017, 116 people achieved paid competitive employment through the supported employment service.¹¹⁹ While this is currently a Medicaid service for people with intellectual disabilities in Mississippi, the State is funding the service for people with mental illness exclusively using federal grants and State dollars. DMH leadership indicated that they hoped to work with DOM to fund the service through Medicaid in the future, but have yet to do so.¹²⁰

¹¹³ Descriptive Statistics, Expert Report of Todd MacKenzie.

¹¹⁴ See LBO Program Performance Indicators and Measures, 11-17 [MS-00012444].

¹¹⁵ Medicaid, Billing Guidelines for Community Mental Health Services [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf>].

¹¹⁶ Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101. Again, the organizations may be counting units differently, with one using 15-minute units and the other using one-hour units.

¹¹⁷ DMH, *supra* note 1 at 7.

¹¹⁸ Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101.

¹¹⁹ DMH, *supra* note 4 at 9.

¹²⁰ Hutchins Deposition, 148-49.

5. Permanent Supported Housing

After engaging the Technical Assistance Collaborative to assist the State in designing a Permanent Supported Housing Program,¹²¹ in 2016 Mississippi launched its CHOICE Program.¹²² Through CHOICE, the State provides supported housing through a combination of subsidies and housing supports to people with serious mental illness, particularly those who are transitioning from a State Hospital. As of March 2018, however, CHOICE had housed only 311 people, though Mississippi Home Corporation leadership has estimated that the number of units that would bring Mississippi in line with national trends is 2,600 units.¹²³ The average annual cost of serving a person on CHOICE is \$8,015 per person.¹²⁴ While the program is technically available statewide, there are some regions where no one is receiving the service.¹²⁵ Leadership at one mental health center I spoke with were not even aware that the program was available.¹²⁶

The CHOICE program was established as a bridge subsidy with the goal of transitioning subsidy recipients onto a federal housing voucher, into a long term affordable housing placement, or into independence within one year. While that is a laudable goal and many people will be able to transition off the voucher in that time, some people will need longer term assistance and will be unable to access a federal voucher. Increasing flexibility in the program to meet the needs of the small group that continues to need a subsidy after one year is critical to achieve the goal of maintaining people in the community.

6. Insufficient Core Service Array

The State should redefine the essential core services and determine needed additional capacity for each service category.

The inconsistency of service provisions across regions is enabled by DMH's requirements for Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs). Each CMHC is required to provide the following "core" adult mental health services in every county of the CMHC's catchment area: Outpatient Therapy; Community Support Services; Psychiatric/Physician Services; Crisis Response Services; Psychosocial Rehabilitation; Inpatient Referral; Pre-Evaluation Screening for Civil Commitment (required only for centers operated by regional commissions est. under MCA Section 41-19-31 et seq.); Peer Support Services; Targeted Case Management Services; and Support for Recovery/Resiliency Oriented Services.¹²⁷

On the one hand, DMH does not currently consider key services such as PACT and supported employment to be core services for adult mental health, so CMHCs are not required to provide them. On the other hand, the State includes PACT services as a rehabilitative service under its

¹²¹ MAC, Housing Report, April 2014 [MS-00001223].

¹²² Mississippi CHOICE NCSHA Presentation, 2 [MHC-00013231].

¹²³ Mokry Deposition, 71, 139-42.

¹²⁴ Mississippi CHOICE *supra* note 122 at 8-9; Mokry Deposition, 165-66.

¹²⁵ Reaching the Special Needs Population, *supra* note 94.

¹²⁶ Meeting with Region 15 CMHC Leadership.

¹²⁷ DMH, Operational Standards, July 2016, 11 [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/Final-2016-Operational-Standards.pdf>].

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Medicaid State Plan¹²⁸ and Medicaid rules require that State Plan services be available statewide.¹²⁹ Because neither DOM nor DMH has ensured that all CMHCs in the State provide PACT services, PACT coverage is patchy and currently offered by only 8 of 14 CMHCs (and even then, sometimes only in part of the region served by the CMHC).¹³⁰

The State has long been aware of the need to expand core services to ensure statewide coverage of the services that are most effective at reducing the need for hospitalizations. In 2013, DMH conducted a survey of community mental health services stakeholders as part of a legislatively established Best Practices Committee.¹³¹ The results indicated that the providers, consumers, and family members who interact with Mississippi's community mental health system want to see the expansion of core services to include PACT, supported employment services, transportation, permanent supported housing, and additional crisis services. Nonetheless, the core service array remains inadequate.

Mississippi Has Long Known it Must Shift Resources to the Community

Ten years ago, the PEER Committee report reaffirmed the need, identified in the MAC Plan, to focus resources on serving people in the community.¹³² Summarizing its findings, the PEER Committee stated, "Although the mental health environment in the United States has dramatically changed from an institution based system to a community system in recent years, Mississippi's mental health system has not reflected the shift in service delivery methods."¹³³ Addressing the funding issue, it continued, "The board [of mental health] has not aggressively sought plans for reallocation of resources to meet emerging needs, in addition to efforts to seek additional funding to meet those needs."¹³⁴

In response, the Department of Mental Health incorporated the goal of shifting funds from the hospitals to the community in its first strategic plan in 2010.¹³⁵ However, the State failed to actually shift any funds from the State Hospitals to community services until 2018, nearly two years after the Department of Justice filed this lawsuit, ten years after the PEER report, and nearly twenty years after the development of the MAC plan.¹³⁶ And the community services remain under-funded.

Mississippi Has Not Executed an Effective Plan to Shift Resources and Reduce Reliance on Hospitalization

During the 2001 Regular Session, the Mississippi Legislature passed House Bill 929, which provided for the development of a comprehensive Olmstead Plan to address the needs, service

¹²⁸ Medicaid, State Plan, 17 [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/SPA2012-003.pdf>].

¹²⁹ 42 CFR 431.50.

¹³⁰ Charts Data for Meeting with Governor, 7.17, 3 [MS-00015033].

¹³¹ DMH, Summary of Findings, [MS-00017178].

¹³² PEER, *supra* note 39 at cover page.

¹³³ *Id.*

¹³⁴ *Id.*

¹³⁵ Mississippi Board of Mental Health & DMH, FY2010-2020 Strategic Plan, 3 [USDOJ-0001457].

¹³⁶ Bailey Deposition, 25-26; Allen Deposition, 40.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

options, and service settings for persons with disabilities.¹³⁷ The goal was to have community-based services available by June 30, 2011, for all persons for whom such services were deemed appropriate.¹³⁸

The plan, called the Mississippi Access to Care (MAC) Plan, identified changes needed to create a service delivery system that allows individuals with disabilities to live and work in the most integrated setting of their choice, including data collection and transition from an institutional care model.¹³⁹

The State issued a report on progress toward implementing the MAC Plan in 2003, indicating that the plan had not been implemented.¹⁴⁰ The State's PEER committee again reported that the State had not implemented its Olmstead Plan as of 2008.¹⁴¹ When asked at his June 2018 deposition if the State has an Olmstead plan, the current Deputy Executive Director of the Department of Mental Health testified, "I've never seen one."¹⁴²

In recent years the State has established MAC 2.0, a committee run by the Division of Medicaid.¹⁴³ In 2016 that committee released a report on community services that the Department of Mental Health and Division of Medicaid were providing.¹⁴⁴ However, the report did not lay out any plan or next steps for meeting the stated goal of serving people in the most integrated setting appropriate.¹⁴⁵

Apart from this limited Olmstead planning, the Department of Mental Health has engaged in a regular strategic planning process since 2010. However, the strategic plans do not serve as effective tools for moving the system. Many of the dozens of objectives, outcomes, and strategies included in the plans lack specific, measurable targets.¹⁴⁶ For those objectives without measurable targets, the program leader responsible for the goal simply determines whether, in their judgement, the State is "on track" to meet the objective for progress reports.¹⁴⁷ And there are no guidelines for selecting the targets that do exist.¹⁴⁸ These facts reduce the utility of the plan as a measure of success. Worse, in the past where a specific target for reducing readmissions by 2% was included and then the State did not meet the target, rather than problem

¹³⁷ Mississippi Access to Care, 3 [MS-00013155].

¹³⁸ *Id.* at 8.

¹³⁹ *Id.* at 13-17.

¹⁴⁰ Mississippi Access to Care, Implementation Report #1, May 30, 2003, 1 [MS-00013151].

¹⁴¹ PEER, *supra* note 39 at 32.

¹⁴² Allen Deposition, 164.

¹⁴³ Mississippi Access to Care, Plan Update, May 12, 2016 [MS-00001234] [hereinafter, MAC 2.0 Update]. In fact, DMH leadership could not even clearly articulate what MAC 2.0 was. Vaughn Deposition, 18.

¹⁴⁴ MAC 2.0 Update [MS-00001234].

¹⁴⁵ *Id.*

¹⁴⁶ Mississippi Board of Mental Health, *supra* note 40 at 11-18.

¹⁴⁷ Bailey Deposition, 92-93.

¹⁴⁸ Bailey Deposition, 80; Hutchins Deposition, 184-87.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

solving and continuing work to meet the goal, DMH removed the target from future plans.¹⁴⁹ Overall, the plan fails to set out a clear vision for what the mental health system should look like and fails to identify the key, measurable metrics that will indicate whether the State has realized the vision.

Mississippi Does Not Effectively Coordinate Admissions and Discharges to Prevent Hospitalization and Readmission

DMH's structure, policies, and budgeting do not support an integrated system designed to meet the mental health needs of its citizens. To prevent unnecessary hospitalizations, hospitals and community providers must work as a unified, well-managed system of care, not as monolithic entities, each pursuing a clinical course that is unrelated to others. A public health approach is designed around one agency taking responsibility for the care of its clients throughout their treatment. Case management and care planning are continuous and a unified treatment team designs the care for an individual. When there is a single point of responsibility, providers cannot "pass the buck" as the person receiving care moves through the system.

Once a single responsible entity has been identified, incentives, including additional funds for community providers, can be built into the system to prevent hospitalizations. If, in spite of efforts to divert a person from the hospital, the individual is ultimately admitted, the responsible entity ensures that the hospital team is informed about the treatment plan and the events that precipitated admission. They work in partnership with the hospital staff to ensure that the inpatient clinical work is targeted to specific goals in the ongoing treatment plan, and that the individual is returned to the community as quickly as possible. Entity staff continue to work with the individual and identify any changes that are necessary to services the individual will receive in the community. They then prepare services that are needed before discharge and begin to support the person immediately after they leave the hospital. With clear accountability vested in one entity, people can be diverted or quickly discharged from inpatient care and can avoid the proverbial cracks in the system.

The structure within DMH does not facilitate integrating State Hospitals with community providers. This was starkly highlighted in the deposition of the director of the East Mississippi State Hospital, who testified that he views the role of the Hospitals in the transition process as being "to get [patients] in contact with the community mental health center as a good, you know, 'here they are.' You know, 'we've stabilized them, this is the medication that they're on.'"¹⁵⁰ Another indication of this lack of integration is that oversight for the State Hospitals and community providers is assigned to two different Bureaus.¹⁵¹ Budgeting for mental health services is done individually for each Hospital, with a separate lump sum budget for the community services that DMH funds.¹⁵² And while the CMHCs are required to screen people

¹⁴⁹ Compare Mississippi Board of Mental Health, FY2017-FY2019 Strategic Plan, 10 [<http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/FY17-FY19-DMH-Strategic-Plan-Final.pdf>] with DMH, End of Year Progress Report FY16-FY18, 1 [USDOJ-0001475].

¹⁵⁰ Carlisle Deposition, 151.

¹⁵¹ DMH, Organizational Chart FY2019, 2 [MS-00145436].

¹⁵² See LBO, Consolidated Budget Request FY2019, 9-3, 9-8, 9-14, 9-15 [MS-00012444].

for admission to the State Hospitals,¹⁵³ they are not required to participate in discharge planning and are not compensated for participating to the extent that they do.¹⁵⁴ In fact, in 2017 only 20% of Mississippi State Hospital patients were visited by a CMHC representative before discharge.¹⁵⁵

Mississippi's coordination between State Hospitals and community providers both on admission and in preparation for discharge fails to promote quick return and effective reintegration into the community. State Hospital staff do not work to divert people from Hospitals, even when they have no beds available. One social worker testified at her deposition that she does not work with CMHCs or courts to identify alternatives to commitment. She explained, "My work with them starts once they are admitted. Nothing prior."¹⁵⁶

Currently, while community providers are required to screen people prior to civil commitment, they are not routinely included in the intake process when people enter the Hospitals.¹⁵⁷ Because the outpatient treatment plan is not used as a basis for hospital treatment, Hospital clinicians are deprived of the insight that their community providers can offer. Even though community providers and the State Hospitals both submit data about clients into the State's Central Data Repository, the Hospitals cannot use it to determine whether a person who is admitted was previously receiving community services.¹⁵⁸ This may delay the successful treatment and resolution of a crisis. Further, Hospital clinicians, unconnected with the realities of the community-based providers, often start patients on medications that are unavailable or unaffordable in the community.¹⁵⁹ Upon release, if the person cannot afford the medication, he will stop taking it soon after discharge, decompensate, and end up back in the hospital. And until a few months ago, when individuals receiving Medicaid entered a State Hospital, their benefits were terminated, rather than being suspended, resulting in delays to accessing services upon discharge.¹⁶⁰ Coordination of treatment for those who enter a State Hospital could reduce lengths of stay and decrease the chance of readmission.

Mississippi is also failing to conduct effective discharge planning with warm hand-offs to ensure that people who leave the Hospitals are connected to the services they need immediately. For example, the Social Services Director at East Mississippi State Hospital explained that the Hospital's role in coordinating care at discharge is "limited."¹⁶¹ Hospital staff merely "make the

¹⁵³ DMH, *supra* note 10 at 11 (Rule 3.1.A.1.g).

¹⁵⁴ CMHC Interviews; *see also* Fleming Deposition, 190-91.

¹⁵⁵ MSH, Strategic Plan Report FY2017, 11 [MS-00019983].

¹⁵⁶ Newbaker Deposition, 34.

¹⁵⁷ CMHC Interviews.

¹⁵⁸ Jones Deposition, 35 ("Q. Can a State hospital use information from the CDR to determine whether a person has received services from a CMHC before being admitted to the State hospital? A. Not at this time.")

¹⁵⁹ CMHC Interviews.

¹⁶⁰ Interagency Agreement Between the Division of Medicaid in the Office of the Governor State of Mississippi and the Mississippi Department of Mental Health (Eligibility), April 23, 2018 [MS-00144829].

¹⁶¹ Newbaker Deposition, 182.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

referral to the community mental health center.”¹⁶² The only coordination they do after discharge is collecting information on whether the referred patient attended his appointment.¹⁶³ Outpatient clinicians are not included in discharge planning, and at times, are not notified of discharges until they have already occurred.¹⁶⁴

Taking a systems approach to managing care, in place of siloed services, can prevent admissions, reduce lengths of stay in Hospitals, and reduce the likelihood of readmissions.

Mississippi Has A Relatively Low Level of Investment in Its Community-Based System

Mississippi’s heavy reliance on State Hospitals is reflected in the proportion of the State’s mental health spending that is invested in State Hospitals as compared to community services. Across the country, states have drastically reallocated funds, focusing funding on community services. States often expand community-based services and reduce hospital beds, moving resources to the community before and as units close. That is the approach that I took as a state mental health administrator to ensure that people who are no longer served in the state hospital system are receiving sufficient supports to avoid institutionalization. Unfortunately, while the State Hospital budgets in Mississippi were reduced in recent decades as beds closed, that money was cut from the DMH budget rather than being shifted to the community.¹⁶⁵

While it still invests a relatively low proportion of State funds in community services, Mississippi has continued to invest significant resources in new State Hospital buildings. After building North and South Mississippi State Hospitals, the State invested in new units at Mississippi State Hospital, and East Mississippi State Hospital is currently undergoing a complete rebuilding at a cost of at least 31 million dollars.¹⁶⁶ Rather than consolidating with other State Hospitals because of deteriorating building conditions at East Mississippi State Hospital, the State invested in new construction that was still ongoing when I visited.

Previously, the Legislative PEER Committee report had found,

Although Mississippi’s expenditures for community-based services for mental illness have increased, the rate of increase has been much slower than the nationwide rate of increase. In FY 1983, 31% of Mississippi’s expenditures were for community-based care. By FY 2005, community-based services had increased to 44%, but this increase is a much smaller rate or increase than the national average. From FY 1983 to FY 2005, the nationwide average for expenditures for community-based services for mental illness had increased from 35% to 70%. In FY 2005, Mississippi had yet to follow the national trend set fifteen years ago [in 1993], of devoting the majority of its mental health expenditures to community-based service.”¹⁶⁷

¹⁶² *Id.*

¹⁶³ *Id.* at 188-89; *See also* Wuichet Deposition, 84-85.

¹⁶⁴ CMHC Interviews.

¹⁶⁵ DMH, Letter from Edwin LeGrand to Angela Ladner, December 8, 2010 [MS-00015331].

¹⁶⁶ *Id.*

¹⁶⁷ PEER, *supra* note 39 at 29.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

An examination of fiscal expenditures for mental health services in the United States shows that Mississippi is out of step with the rest of the country in the way that it apportions its service dollars. In Fiscal Year 2015, State Mental Health Authority expenditures totaled more than 43 billion for the 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Including the federal Medicaid matching funds for community-based care, nationally 75% of the expenditures were for community services; 22% for state psychiatric hospitals; and 2.4% for administration, research and training.¹⁶⁸ The average percentage of state expenditures supporting state hospitals was 28%.¹⁶⁹ In Mississippi, only 61% of the State Mental Health Authority (DMH) expenditures were spent on community-based services and 37% went to support the State Hospitals.¹⁷⁰

Though they are indicative of the mismatch between Mississippi's spending and its stated priorities, the numbers above disguise the true concentration of Mississippi's institutional spending. The calculations above include the generous federal contributions to Mississippi's Medicaid services.¹⁷¹ For 2017, Mississippi calculated that, excluding the federal Medicaid contributions, only 35.65% of its mental health spending went to community-based services.¹⁷²

In my experience managing the mental health systems in two states, I learned that the reallocation of resources is the key strategic challenge to successfully growing community systems of care. Resources are finite in every state and it will always be a challenge to find additional resources to devote to the funding of community programs. But, generally, those resources go farther outside the gates of the state hospital. Necessary reforms are possible because programs like PACT, Crisis Stabilization Units, and Mobile Crisis Teams can replace much of the role historically played by state hospitals. As noted above, whenever the State moves dollars from a State Hospital that does not receive Medicaid reimbursement to community services that are covered under Medicaid, those dollars generate four times the buying power. Part of the challenge for departments of mental health is the need to educate key stakeholders, including legislators, about their ability to reduce reliance on hospitals by building up community services. The State is well-positioned to expand community services by shifting resources and maximizing federal contributions to the system.

Mississippi Has Maintained A Relatively High Number of State Hospital Beds

Since 1950, state hospital beds nationally have been cut to less than 10% of the peak number.¹⁷³ As of 2014, there were about 20,000 people in state and county psychiatric hospitals across the

¹⁶⁸ NRI SMHA Expenditures FY 2015 Table 7 [USDOJ-0008429].

¹⁶⁹ *Id.*

¹⁷⁰ *Id.*

¹⁷¹ Federal Financial Participation in State Assistance Expenditures; Federal Matching Shares for Medicaid, the Children's Health Insurance Program, and Aid to Needy Aged, Blind, or Disabled Persons for October 1, 2017 Through September 30, 2018, Federal Register, Vol. 81, No. 220, [<https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2016/11/15/2016-27424/federal-financial-participation-in-state-assistance-expenditures-federal-matching-shares-for>].

¹⁷² Recalculation for Anna using FY17 Revised [MS-00119098].

¹⁷³ Lutterman & Manderscheid, *supra* note 26 at slide 10; Lutterman, T., et al. (Sept. 7, 2010) Funding and Characteristics of State Mental Health Agencies, HHS Pub No (SMA) 09-4424.

country.¹⁷⁴ Despite some bed closures at its State Hospitals since 2000, Mississippi had over 18 beds per 100,000 State residents in 2014, among the highest in the country.¹⁷⁵ The fact that Mississippi State Hospital still has nearly 100 continuing care beds and a medical surgical unit is particularly noteworthy and unusual. In fact, the Medical Director of DMH testified at his deposition that over a year ago he had consulted with Hospital clinicians and determined “if the appropriate settings were available in the community for [the continuing care] population, that 50 percent or better of these patients could be served in the community.”¹⁷⁶ Across the country, long term treatment beds are typically reserved for difficult forensic cases, while individuals who have complex medical needs arise while in a state hospital are usually transferred to a community hospital to have those needs addressed.

While some acute psychiatric capacity is necessary, my experience in other states shows that states need fewer beds when effective crisis and community-based services are available. Even Mississippi now seems to acknowledge that it can continue to reduce its inpatient capacity. When asked at his deposition if he believes that an “increase of community-based services will decrease reliance on the State hospitals,” DMH Deputy Executive Director Steven Allen answered, “Yes.”¹⁷⁷ For the first time this year, the DMH strategic plan includes a goal of decreasing admissions by 10%.¹⁷⁸ While an important start, DMH leadership acknowledged that this was selected because it was deemed a very achievable goal—“a low benchmark”—for the first year and not a long term goal.¹⁷⁹ Mississippi should continue to move resources to the community to support the expansion of intensive services, which will enable it to decrease its State Hospital beds.

The shifts in capacity nationally accompanied a changed approach to mental health treatment. A report by the National Association of Mental Health Program Directors in 2017 found that “the state mental health [agencies] ... have drastically reorganized their approach[] to providing care over the past 44 years, shifting resources and workforce to focus on delivering community-based outpatient services that have included intensive evidence-based services, such as Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), designed to reduce the need for intensive inpatient services.”

Fear of insufficient psychiatric inpatient capacity has recently been a theme in the media and has been evident in Mississippi.¹⁸⁰ In my experience, this fear is proven to be unfounded when effective community-based services are available.

¹⁷⁴ This does not include forensic patients who are in the hospital for competency restoration or because they have been found not guilty by reason of insanity. Lutterman & Manderscheid, *supra* note 26 at slide 19.

¹⁷⁵ *Id.* at slide 31.

¹⁷⁶ Maddux Deposition, 32.

¹⁷⁷ Allen Deposition, 26.

¹⁷⁸ Mississippi Board of Mental Health, *supra* note 40 at 11.

¹⁷⁹ Allen Deposition, 29.

¹⁸⁰ DMH, *supra* note 165.

DMH and DOM Could Exercise Their Authority to Shape an Effective Community-Based Mental Health System

DMH and DOM do not use the tools at their disposal to create the community-based mental health system they say they want. As a service administrator, I used tools including data, regulation, funding incentives, and coordination across agencies to move strategically toward an effective community-based service system.

The two agencies conduct limited data analysis and data sharing. To the extent that they do collect and share data, the agencies do not use that data to drive decisions.¹⁸¹ In fact, while DOM regularly provides data to DMH on Medicaid utilization, no one at DOM reviews that data to guide its actions and DMH leadership also does not review the data.¹⁸² DOM also collects robust data sets on its behavioral health services from its managed care organizations, but does not use that information to drive its mental health service system.¹⁸³ While DMH has occasionally run analyses of how much money it allocates to institutions as compared to community services, those assessments are often completed in response to an external request rather than as a regular management tool.¹⁸⁴ Further, Hospital leadership does not use the data they collect for strategic planning on issues such as readmission to guide program development and decision-making.¹⁸⁵

DMH and DOM both take a limited view of their authority, in spite of the powers that they actually have.¹⁸⁶ DMH does not require providers to take steps that could increase provision of services, such as maximizing Medicaid enrollment and billing, fully enrolling their PACT teams, referring clients for CHOICE, and participating in discharge planning from State Hospitals. These are the types of requirements that I would have imposed on providers in my time as a state mental health administrator.

¹⁸¹ See Jones Deposition, 48-49, 69-70.

¹⁸² Toten Deposition, 22-23; Hutchins Deposition, 45; *see also* Allen Deposition, 77-78.

¹⁸³ Toten Deposition, 109.

¹⁸⁴ See Allen Deposition, 69; Bailey Deposition, 217-18; Breland Deposition, 137.

¹⁸⁵ Carlisle Deposition, 146.

¹⁸⁶ See Allen Deposition, 45, 61. "They have an executive director, they have a board. It's their agency. And I don't feel like I can tell them what to and what not to do."

Providing Services in the Community Is Typically Less Expensive for States than Relying on Institutional Care and this Holds True in Mississippi

State Hospital Costs and Financing

Serving people in the community is typically less expensive for states than serving those individuals in hospitals because of the high cost of hospital care and because federal funds can be leveraged to support community services.

As is the case elsewhere, the per diem costs for hospital care in Mississippi are much higher than for community care. In FY 2017, according to the State's calculations, per diem costs for the State Hospitals ranged from \$419-\$522 per person per day.¹⁸⁷ Using these numbers, cost for a full year in the State Hospitals ranges from \$152,935 to \$190,530. Based on the State's reported average lengths of stay and costs per day for acute beds in 2017, the average costs for acute stays in the State Hospitals were as follows:

Hospital	Average Length of Stay	Cost Per Day	Average Cost
EMSH	40 days	\$522	\$20,880 ¹⁸⁸
MSH	38 days	\$422	\$16,036 ¹⁸⁹
NMSH	32 days	\$419	\$13,408 ¹⁹⁰
SMSH	24 days	\$466	\$11,184 ¹⁹¹

These represent costs for one stay of average length in the acute psychiatric units at the State Hospitals, as reported by the State. However, this does not take into account the fact that during the two-year period reviewed, October 2015 - October 2017, 743 patients had more than one admission, with 82 individuals having four or more admissions during the two year period.¹⁹² These people would have cost the State more than the cost of an average stay. This also does not take into account the differences in the cost per stay between units at a given hospital and the different sizes of those units. Because State Hospitals generally do not receive Medicaid funds, these stays are financed almost entirely with state dollars.

Community Services Costs and Financing

¹⁸⁷ Interrogatory Responses [MS-ROGS-00000127, MS-ROGS-00000162].

¹⁸⁸ Interrogatory Responses [MS-ROGS-00000127]. Note that this is an average of the 47-day average length of stay in the male receiving unit and the 23-day average length of stay in the female receiving unit. This is a straight average though there was heavier male representation, so it is a low estimate.

¹⁸⁹ Interrogatory Responses [MS-ROGS-00000144].

¹⁹⁰ Interrogatory Responses [MS-ROGS-00000162].

¹⁹¹ Interrogatory Responses. [MS-ROGS-00000166].

¹⁹² Descriptive Statistics, Expert Report of Todd MacKenzie.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

States have successfully used a variety of strategies to finance the expansion of community-based services. The largest shift in the past 50 years has been an increased reliance on Medicaid funding for mental health services. When state hospitals were the primary providers of mental health services, state and local dollars funded care. After the policy shift towards community care in the 1960's and the establishment of Medicaid, there has been a steady shift of funding, from states to the Federal Government.¹⁹³ In Mississippi, the federal government pays 75.65% of each dollar spent on Medicaid services for Medicaid beneficiaries.¹⁹⁴ Mississippi's Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) is the highest in the nation.¹⁹⁵ Therefore, state dollars can buy more services when used to leverage federal Medicaid funding for community services.

To effectively leverage federal Medicaid dollars, individuals who are eligible for Medicaid must be enrolled. It is particularly challenging for individuals with serious mental illness to successfully navigate the Medicaid and social security disability application process. The support of a person's treatment professional in that process can make the difference between expedited approval or years of appeals. By ensuring that individuals have the benefits assistance they need, often provided by case managers, community support specialists, and hospital-based social workers, the State can draw down federal funding for community-based services.

The State has nonetheless emphasized State grants for key services like PACT and crisis services. Rather than using data on Medicaid billing to assess the ability to reduce grants for Medicaid billable services like PACT, DMH has provided the same grant funding year after year.¹⁹⁶ State grant dollars have not been used as startup funds and to fill gaps for the uninsured, but have instead been ongoing, primary funding streams.

Instead, services could be paid for through Medicaid for eligible individuals. PACT, for example, which is the highest intensity service provided in the community, has a Medicaid rate of \$27.50 per 15 minute unit in Mississippi, with a maximum number of 1600 units, or 400 hours of PACT service per year.¹⁹⁷ For Medicaid recipients, the federal government matching payment would cover 75%, or \$33,000 of the \$44,000 annual cost of PACT services if the maximum number of units were provided. The State would contribute \$11,000 in state dollars for a full year of services. During 2017, Mississippi's Medicaid managed care providers paid for 123 individuals to receive PACT services.¹⁹⁸ While it is troubling that only 123 individuals were provided PACT through Medicaid managed care, because it would seem to suggest an under-

¹⁹³ Kaiser Family Foundation, "Mental Health Financing in the United States: A Primer", April 2011, 8 [<https://kaiserfamilyfoundation.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/8182.pdf>]; Health Affairs, "Accomplishments and Challenges in Medicaid Mental Health", Oct. 2008, 22 (5), 76 [<https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.22.5.73>].

¹⁹⁴ Kaiser Family Foundation, Federal Medical Assistance Percentage FY 2018 [<https://www.kff.org/medicaid/state-indicator/federal-matching-rate-and-multiplier/?currentTimeframe=0&sortModel=%7B%22colId%22:%22Location%22,%22sort%22:%22asc%22%7D>].

¹⁹⁵ See *id.*

¹⁹⁶ See DMH, Program Performance Indicators and Measures FY2019, 11-2 [MS-00012444].

¹⁹⁷ AMA, Fee Schedule, 2 [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf>]; AMA, Administrative Code, 27 [https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/AdminCode-Part_206.pdf].

¹⁹⁸ See Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

utilization of services or under enrollment in Medicaid, at the rate of \$27.50 per hour, the State share to provide these services to all these individuals came to \$137,401.¹⁹⁹

The cost-differentials seen with PACT services are typical for all key community-based mental-health services. For example, for individuals not needing the full array or intensity of services offered through PACT, community support services might be used to provide intensive case management, possibly in conjunction with other services like therapy, medication management, and peer support. Community support services cost \$14.88 per 15-minute unit, and are limited to 400 units per year.²⁰⁰ For Medicaid recipients using the maximum units of service, the federal government matching payment would cover 75%, or \$4,464 of the \$5,952 annual cost of community support services. The State would contribute only \$1,488 in state dollars for a full year of services.

Another key service, mobile crisis, also known in Mississippi as “crisis response services” provides 24/7 assessment, stabilization, and treatment of a person in mental health crisis, and is aimed at preventing hospitalization.²⁰¹ Crisis response services cost between \$21.88 and \$30.00 per 15-minute unit depending upon whether the response is by phone or in-person, and are limited to 224 units per year.²⁰² For Medicaid recipients using the maximum units of service, the federal government matching payment would cover 75%, or \$5,040 of the \$6,720 annual per person cost of crisis response services. The State would contribute only \$1,680 in state dollars for the maximum number of crisis response services afforded in one year. During 2017, Mississippi’s Medicaid managed care providers paid for crisis response services for 817 individuals.²⁰³ The State share to provide these services to all 817 individuals came to \$41,965.²⁰⁴ Once again, the low rate of service utilization for crisis services is surprising given that these services are fundamental to keeping individuals out of hospitals, and are so much less expensive than putting people into State hospitals.

The State has recently recognized that even relatively expensive community-based services are a cost effective way to serve people with mental illness who have remained in the Hospital for lengthy periods and are challenging to serve in the community. Recently, the State began transitioning some individuals from the continuing stay unit of Mississippi State Hospital to small staffed homes at a cost of over \$200 per person per day.²⁰⁵ Currently the full cost is borne by the State, but DMH is exploring opportunities to use a Medicaid waiver to support this population in the community.²⁰⁶

¹⁹⁹ *See id.*

²⁰⁰ AMA, Fee Schedule, 3 [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf>]; AMA, Administrative Code, 21 [https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/AdminCode-Part_206.pdf].

²⁰¹ AMA, Administrative Code, 18 [https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/AdminCode-Part_206.pdf].

²⁰² AMA, Fee Schedule, 3 [<https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf>].

²⁰³ *See* Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101; Email from Berkeley Research Group, July 26, 2018.

²⁰⁴ *See* Berkeley Research Group, *supra* note 101.

²⁰⁵ Allen Deposition, 96-99.

²⁰⁶ *Id.* at 91, 96-98.

Confidential Information, Subject to Protective Order

Conclusion

It is possible for Mississippi to accomplish its stated goal of serving people in the most integrated setting as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act. In order to do so, the State will need to realign and maximize funding, expand critical community-based services and make them available throughout the State, establish strong coordination and collaboration across different components of the system, provide robust oversight and technical assistance, and use data to continuously refine and improve the service system.

Melodie Peet 7/30/18

Melodie Peet

Date

MELODIE J. PEET 38 Reeves Avenue (203) 689-5960
Guilford, CT 06437 mpeet@aol.com

EXPERIENCE

2010-Present **CONNECTICUT STATE DIRECTOR**

*The Institute of Professional Practice, Inc.
Meriden, Connecticut*

Oversee all program and administrative operations for the Connecticut branch of this multistate human services agency. IPPI Connecticut has a budget of \$30M, 600+ staff and provides a wide range of services and supports to adults and children with developmental and emotional disabilities.

2007-2009 **DEPUTY COMMISSIONER**

*Massachusetts Department of Children and Families
Boston, Massachusetts*

Managed the policy, planning and program operations functions for this public sector child welfare agency with a staff of 1100 and a budget of \$850M. Developed comprehensive quality improvement systems; worked to integrate the practice approaches of the Department's child protective services and domestic violence programs; revamped the agency's staff development operations; and initiated a process to adapt the clinical practice model of the Department to conform with a trauma informed approach.

2006-2007 **BEHAVIORAL HEALTH MONITOR**

2002-2004 *DCF Court Monitor's Office
Wallingford, Connecticut*

Worked as a behavioral health expert on the court monitoring team that was overseeing the compliance of the Connecticut Department of Children and Families with the conditions of the court settlement in the Juan F. consent decree. This far reaching agreement has resulted in a complete overhaul of the State's child welfare and children's mental health systems.

2004-2006 **CEO**

*Riverview Hospital for Children and Youth
Middletown, Connecticut*

Led a turnaround team that was organized to manage a major organizational change process at this 100 bed hospital that served the mental health needs of Connecticut children aged 6-18. Designed and implemented a new structure and programming in order to: improve overall operations; improve expertise in trauma specific treatment methods and gender specific programming; better integrate the hospital with community systems of care.

2000-2002 COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR*City of New Haven**New Haven, Connecticut*

Directed the health and human services programming for an economically and culturally diverse population of 130,000 people. Worked with city, state, and non-profit entities to craft a policy agenda that supported the healthy growth and development of all city residents. Functioned as a member of the Mayor's cabinet. Supervised the following departments: Health, Children and Youth; Elderly and Human services.

1995-2000 COMMISSIONER*Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and**Substance Abuse Services**Augusta, Maine*

Functioned as Chief Executive Officer for a state agency with a staff of 1310 and biennial budget of \$430M. Adopted a new policy framework for the Department which emphasized community-based services for all populations. Operationalized that value system by: closing the state's sole institution for the care of people with developmental disabilities; substantially downsizing the two state-operated psychiatric hospitals and reallocating millions of dollars to expand community services; and developing and implementing a plan to create a revamped behavioral health system for children that reduced reliance on institutional care. Other major initiatives included the integration of the state's freestanding substance abuse authority into the Department and establishing the nation's first public sector initiative to create a statewide response to the needs of trauma survivors.

1991-1995 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER*Department of Mental Health**Hartford, Connecticut*

Responsible for managing the daily operations, program, and policy development for a state agency with an annual budget of \$270M and a workforce of 3,600. Managed a system-wide change process that rebalanced the emphasis from institutional to community-based care. This initiative included the following critical elements; downsizing of inpatient bed capacity by 50% over a three year period; reallocating \$35M to fund community services; reorganizing the governance structure from a centralized model to a network of 18 local mental health authorities. Worked with the Corporation for Supported Housing and HUD to create hundreds of new housing units and linked services for homeless people with mental illness, substance abuse problems, or HIV/AIDS.

1986-1991 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

*Greater Bridgeport Mental Health Center
Bridgeport, Connecticut*

In the largest city in Connecticut, directed the internal operations and managed external boundary relationships for a comprehensive community mental health center with a unionized staff of 275 and an annual budget of \$15M. Was responsible for ensuring the provision of both inpatient and outpatient mental health and substance abuse services to a culturally diverse catchment area of 300,000. Piloted many of the State's first demonstration projects for new service models such as assertive community treatment teams, mobile crisis services; and homeless outreach.

1982-1986 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR ADMINISTRATION

*Greater Bridgeport Community Mental Health Center
Bridgeport, Connecticut*

Managed and was responsible for all administrative operations, directed long-range planning; served as member of agency's policy making Executive Committee, supervised Center's non-clinical support services; directed Center's preparation for JCAHO accreditation; assumed responsibility for the agency in Director's absence.

1979-1982 ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR

*Yale University Department of Psychiatry
Connecticut Mental Health Center
New Haven, Connecticut*

Performed internal management functions such as budget preparation, program evaluation, fund raising, and grant writing. Supervised support departments, functioned as a liaison between the center and other community agencies.

1978-1979 ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR

*Rutland Mental Health Services
Rutland, Vermont*

Assisted Executive Director with administrative, programmatic, and fundraising functions for this comprehensive community mental health center that served both people with mental illness and developmental disabilities.

EDUCATION

MPH	Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut	1978
	Concentration: Mental Health Administration	
BA	Connecticut College, New London, Connecticut	1971
	Major: Political Science	

APPENDIX B

<u>Bates Number</u>	<u>Document</u>
MHC-00000042	Responsibilities of key partners mtg.9.22.15.docx
MHC-00013231	Mississippi CHOICE NCSHA Presentation 20171017.pptx
MHC-00017482	CHOICE FEB 2018 Summary.xlsx
MS-00001223	MAC Plan Housing Assessment Report_Final41614.pdf
MS-00001234	MAC Plan Update 2016 050916 final.doc
MS-00004712	DMH FY17 Annual Report Final.pdf
MS-00004713	DMH FY17 End of Year Progress Report.pdf
MS-00004717	Fast Facts Data.pdf
MS-00004718	Fast Facts FY17 Final.pdf
MS-00004720	FY14 - FY16 End of Year Report.pdf
MS-00004721	FY15 - FY17 DMH Strategic Plan End of Year Progress Report.pdf
MS-00005072	DMH FY16-FY18 Strategic Plan Mid Year Report.pdf
MS-00006284	PEER REVIEW REPORT.pdf
MS-00007067	CPSS Provider Toolkit Final.pdf
MS-00007270	MS Leadership academy.pdf
MS-00008774	DMH Strategic Plan Highlights 3Q.pdf
MS-00012443	Final FY18 House and Senate Presentations.pdf
MS-00012444	Final FY19 Budget Request Consolidated.pdf
MS-00012445	Final FY19 LBO Presentation.pdf
MS-00012462	FY17 CO SB FINAL BUDGET REQUEST.pdf
MS-00013139	Cover letter format CoC version - modified April 2013.pdf
MS-00013151	MAC ImplementationReport1_Entire.pdf
MS-00013155	MAC_Final.pdf
MS-00013223	DMH Funding Expenditures for FY17 Annual Report.pdf
MS-00013225	DMH FY17 Annual Report.pdf
MS-00013300	FY17 Performance Measures.pdf
MS-00013302	FY17-FY19 Feedback Repor.pdf
MS-00013527	Mid-Year Data Supporting Documentation.pdf
MS-00013557	FY16 End of Year Report Dashboards.pdf
MS-00013585	FY16 Data DMH Behavioral Health Programs.pdf
MS-00013587	FY17 - FY19 DMH Strategic Plan Final Draft w.font changes.pdf
MS-00013594	Mid-Year Draft.pdf
MS-00013606	GOAL 3 3-27.pdf
MS-00013668	DMH Strategic Plan February 5 2009 Meeting Afternoon.pdf
MS-00013984	FY 2012 Strategic Plan Goals Revision survey results.pdf
MS-00014108	goal exp barriers resources.pdf
MS-00014240	Ideal System Model final.pdf
MS-00014478	Strategic Plan LRPC recommendations final (3).pdf
MS-00014801	Strategic Plan Binder Single.pdf
MS-00014859	GAPS IN SERVICES.pdf
MS-00014902	SWOT-2- Weaknesses - 101.pdf
MS-00014904	SWOT-3-Opportunities-101FINALLL.pdf
MS-00014908	SWOT-4-Threats-1011with kj comments.pdf
MS-00015033	Charts Data for Meeting with Governor 7.17.pdf
MS-00015288	Discharges from State Hospitals FY16.pdf
MS-00015294	Mississippi Department of Mental Health 2017 Legislative Agenda 3.16.17.pdf
MS-00015331	Angela Ladner Letter.pdf
MS-00016868	SWOT.pdf
MS-00016966	SWOT-4-Threats-930.pdf
MS-00016998	Weaknesses - condensed draft9-22.pdf
MS-00017002	words from Mardi SWOT-DREAM.pdf
MS-00017012	SPBP Minutes_10.18.2012_Draft.pdf
MS-00017136	SPBP Minutes_7.19.2012_Final_Revised.pdf
MS-00017137	SPBP Minutes_7.19.2012_Proposed Final.pdf
MS-00017178	Attachment D_Core Services Survey_Summary of Findings with limitations.pdf
MS-00017248	fast facts.pdf
MS-00017318	2018 Legislative Agenda - Budget Request.pdf

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
MS-00017423	Anna Wolfe, CL, CMHC and community spending.pdf
MS-00017426	Beds and people served, August 2017.pdf
MS-00017704	White Paper Highlights - October 2017 update.pdf
MS-00017859	5-Year Strategic Plan FY 2017.pdf
MS-00017861	Andrew's Info for CO Performance Measures.pdf
MS-00017881	Five Year Comprehensive Strategic Plan for LBO FY2018 - FY2022 revised 9.12.16.pdf
MS-00017889	Performance Measures FY18.pdf
MS-00017918	Five Year Central Office Strategic Plan for LBO FY2019 - FY2023.pdf
MS-00018427	301-13 Admissions.pdf
MS-00018428	04-ADMISSIONS PROCESS.pdf
MS-00018429	102-03 Admissions Process 0215.pdf
MS-00018432	102-14 ADMISSION PROCESS.pdf
MS-00018436	102-03 Admissions Requirements.pdf
MS-00018438	102-01 ADMISSION STATEMENT OF PURPOSE 1014.pdf
MS-00018442	2 North Schedule 1-5-2016.pdf
MS-00018445	3 North Unit Schedule 9-14-16.pdf
MS-00018451	3 South Schedule 3-30-16.pdf
MS-00018454	4 North Schedule 03-14-16.pdf
MS-00018458	4 South Schedule 4 11 16.pdf
MS-00018463	EMSH Meal Times 10-2017.pdf
MS-00018464	EMSH Off-site Activities.pdf
MS-00018465	104-13 MONEY POLICY FOR IRS.pdf
MS-00018466	304-02 MEALS.pdf
MS-00018467	EMSH Patient Handbook.pdf
MS-00018469	103-01 PATIENT ADVOCATE STATEMENT OF PURPOSE 11 10.pdf
MS-00018470	103-07 RIGHTS MONITORING.pdf
MS-00018471	PATIENTS RIGHTS.pdf
MS-00018477	01- PHILOSOPHY.pdf
MS-00018479	03- OBJECTIVES.pdf
MS-00018482	07- INTERDISCIPLINARY TREATMENT TEAMS AND TREATMENT PLANS 06-2015.pdf
MS-00018483	08-TREATMENT PROCEDURES.pdf
MS-00018484	09- REEVALUATION AND REVIEW 06-2015.pdf
MS-00018485	10-PLAN FOR DISCHARGE.pdf
MS-00018491	16-PATIENT RIGHTS.pdf
MS-00018494	04 Att 1 EMSH Pre-Admission Information for Adult Psychiatric and Adolescent Clients 03 12.pdf
MS-00018496	04 Att 11 Chancellor's Order 03 12[1].pdf
MS-00018497	04 Att 12 Physicians Certificate 03 12[1].pdf
MS-00018498	04 Att 13 Waiver of Hearing 03 12.pdf
MS-00018501	04 Att 4 Petition fo Voluntary Commitment 03 12[1].pdf
MS-00018502	04 Att 5 PhysicianPsychologist Report 03 12[1].pdf
MS-00018503	04 Att 6 Patient Information form 03 12[1].pdf
MS-00018505	04 Att 8 Order of Admittance After Hearing 03 12[1].pdf
MS-00018506	04 Att 9 Pre-Evaluation Screening 03 12[1].pdf
MS-00018512	05 Att 18 Room Assignment Policy 03 12.pdf
MS-00018521	06 Att 1 Treatment Plan.pdf
MS-00018522	06 Att 3 Behavior log.pdf
MS-00018524	07 Att 7 Social Services Annual Assessment 03 12.pdf
MS-00018535	09 Att 1 Notice of Need for Continuing Hospitalization (20 Day) 03 12.pdf
MS-00018536	09 Att 3 Certificate of Discharge 03 12.pdf
MS-00018539	09 Att 7 45 Day Assessment Form.pdf
MS-00018543	10 Att 5 Notice of Appointment 03 12.pdf
MS-00018545	10 Att 7 Court Notification Letter 08-13.pdf
MS-00018546	10 Att 3 MMHS FORM 150.pdf
MS-00018559	101-27 ROOM ASSIGNMENTS 10-2017.pdf
MS-00018564	103-04 TELEPHONE USE BY IRS 10-2017.pdf
MS-00018566	101-33 VISITORS 6-2016.pdf
MS-00018739	Fax Log to Crisis Centers.pdf

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
MS-00019110	Discharge Advisory Committee Minutes.pdf
MS-00019250	BUILDING 39 GLOBAL SCHEDULE 2017 1.pdf
MS-00019252	BUILDING 45 GLOBAL SCHEDULE 2017.pdf
MS-00019255	Global Schedule.b46.October 2017V.pdf
MS-00019256	FRU Global Schedule 3.24.17.pdf
MS-00019257	FRU Global Schedule 8.2..17 (MOST RECENT).pdf
MS-00019265	TrackA_01_17 final - 012517.pdf
MS-00019275	TrackB_10_17 final.pdf
MS-00019277	TrackC_01_17 final - 012517.pdf
MS-00019288	TrackD_10_17 final.pdf
MS-00019294	TrackE_10_17 final.pdf
MS-00019295	On-Off Non-Medical Campus Activities.pdf
MS-00019296	Patient Grounds Destination Privileges.pdf
MS-00019298	Patient Shopping.pdf
MS-00019300	Visitors to Patients.pdf
MS-00019301	MSH Community Integration Program Manual 2016 to present.pdf
MS-00019302	MSH Female Continued Treatment Service Program Manual 2016 to present.pdf
MS-00019304	MSH Male and Female Receiving Treatment Services Program Manual 2016 to present.pdf
MS-00019305	MSH Male Continued Treatment Service Program Manual 2015.pdf
MS-00019309	MSH Medical Psychiatry Unit Program Manual 2016.pdf
MS-00019367	Daily Police Rounds.pdf
MS-00019379	Informed Consent-Assent for Psychotropic Medications and for Medical Procedures.pdf
MS-00019385	Patient Grounds Destination Privileges.pdf
MS-00019387	Patient Money Procedures.pdf
MS-00019389	Patient-Resident Daily Accountability.pdf
MS-00019390	Patient-Resident Personal Hygiene Container.pdf
MS-00019399	Safekeeping of Patient Valuables.pdf
MS-00019401	Seclusion-Restraint Family Education Social Services.pdf
MS-00019412	Suspicion of Abuse, Neglect, or Exploitation and Patient Injuries of an Unknown Cause.pdf
MS-00019423	b-Table of Contents 3-2017.pdf
MS-00019425	Tab A 2 ProtInterv-210-23.POL.pdf
MS-00019431	Tab D 3 Peer Isolation 2016.pdf
MS-00019432	Tab E 1 Time Out 2015.pdf
MS-00019437	Tab G 1 Beh Health S-R 2016.pdf
MS-00019441	Tab G 3 S-R Notification flowsheet 2015.pdf
MS-00019442	Tab G 4 S-R Requirements Flowchart 2015.pdf
MS-00019446	Tab G 8 MSH-0031B.pdf
MS-00019451	Tab J 1 Approved Devices 3-2017.pdf
MS-00019610	MSH 206 (0207).pdf
MS-00019628	MSH 382 (1701).pdf
MS-00019983	FY 17 Annual Report, 4th and Final.pdf
MS-00020646	UtilizationReviewMinutes201502.pdf
MS-00020650	UtilizationReviewMinutes201506.pdf
MS-00020653	UtilizationReviewMinutes201509.pdf
MS-00020654	UtilizationReviewMinutes201510.pdf
MS-00020655	UtilizationReviewMinutes201511.pdf
MS-00020656	UtilizationReviewMinutes201512.pdf
MS-00020658	UtilizationReviewMinutes201602.pdf
MS-00020660	UtilizationReviewMinutes201604.pdf
MS-00020665	UtilizationReviewMinutes201612.pdf
MS-00020791	MSH Male Continued Treatment Service Program Manual 2015.pdf
MS-00020838	B63Patient Information Handbook Revised (3).pdf
MS-00021149	Discharge Planning Coordination (Social Services Policy).pdf
MS-00021432	MSH 2012 Part 1.pdf
MS-00021462	FY16 Annual Report, final.pdf
MS-00021820	110-03.Admission Scheduling policy.pdf
MS-00021822	110-05. Admissions policy.pdf

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
MS-00021835	FY 18 Agency Organizational Plan for Professional services (Admissions).pdf
MS-00021836	FY 18 Census Management plan for Professional Services (Admission Info).pdf
MS-00021837	250-11 Off Campus Outing Policy.pdf
MS-00021838	250-12 Patient Passese off Campus policy .pdf
MS-00021839	Didactic Programming Schedule.pdf
MS-00021840	master programing schedule NMSH.pdf
MS-00021841	NMSH 3035 Unit Orientation Information 1.16.pdf
MS-00021844	Programming and activities schedules.pdf
MS-00021866	250-20. Seclusion Restraint.pdf
MS-00021867	250-32. Visitors to Patients.pdf
MS-00021878	FY2017 through FY2021 - Five-Year Strategic Plan - North Mississippi State Hospital - 384 - August 12, 2015.pdf
MS-00021995	SMSH - Request for Documents #2.pdf
MS-00021996	SMSH - Request for Documents #3.pdf
MS-00021997	SMSH - Request for Documents #4.pdf
MS-00021998	SMSH - Restraint Documentation for request #4.pdf
MS-00022137	CMRC Policies & Procedures - Eff.042017.pdf
MS-00022154	NEW SUPERVISED LIVING RESIDENT ORIENTATION HANDBOOK.4.4.2016.pdf
MS-00022156	NEW SUPPORTED LIVING RESIDENT ORIENTATION HANDBOOK.4.27.2016.pdf
MS-00022270	CMRC Fin Stmts - Jan-Jun2017.pdf
MS-00022766	2013 Cenpatico Provider Newsletter.pdf
MS-00022779	Cenpatico Provider Manual.pdf
MS-00023061	Cenpatico Contract - Signed - Final.pdf
MS-00023156	DOJ_RequestFor DocumentResponsePharmacyWEBLINKS 10-24-17.docx
MS-00023191	Interrogatory 5 Resubmission-Correction - 12292017.xlsx
MS-00023197	EMSH Interrogatory 5 REVISED 1-2-2018.xlsx
MS-00023211	Interrogatory _5 (Licensed Bed Capacity).xlsx
MS-00023893	DMH Comprehensive 5 Year Strategic Plan for LBO FY2017 - FY2021 (370-00).pdf
MS-00023919	17.5 Discharge-Transfer - rev.032916.doc
MS-00024152	CSU RFP FY16 - GF.docx
MS-00024747	DMH FY16 - FY18 Strategic Plan End of Year Progress Report.pdf
MS-00025027	Person-Centered Planning Discharge Practices 6 Month Update.docx
MS-00025804	2016-2017-Community-Mental-Health-Services-State-Plan.pdf
MS-00026847	Person-Centered Planning Discharge Practices 6 Month Update.docx
MS-00027921	1300_001.pdf
MS-00030305	economic impact of DMH
MS-00030647	FY12 Annual Report Final.pdf
MS-00031196	DMH BoD Pres 5.pptx
MS-00031283	0279_001.pdf
MS-00032260	Reducing readmissions to msh.pptm
MS-00043799	CMHS Purchase of Services Grant form
MS-00043800	CMHS POS Unit Sheet 7-16.pdf
MS-00043897	Adult Services Grants
MS-00043898	FY17 Grant Balances.xlsx
MS-00044687	DMH data on Individuals Served in FY12 and FY13.rev. 2.docx
MS-00046076	Grant Balances
MS-00046077	2013 grant balances.xls
MS-00046078	2014 grant balances.xls
MS-00046079	2015 grant balances.xls
MS-00046080	2016 grant balances.xlsx
MS-00047139	Compiled CMHC Adult Narrative Survey.doc
MS-00047140	Compiled Behavioral Health Narrative Survey.doc
MS-00049075	2016-2017 Plan - FINAL.doc
MS-00050092	Part 3 PES Laws 2016.doc
MS-00050176	DMH BADS Overview 3.0.pptx
MS-00050178	Monthly Data Report-May2017.doc
MS-00050294	0061_001.pdf

APPENDIX B

<u>Bates Number</u>	<u>Document</u>
MS-00051283	CMHC Feedback 5-5-17.xlsx
MS-00053133	MS Works to Improve Crisis Services.doc
MS-00053671	PACT Grant Response_201405291046_0001.pdf
MS-00057481	Housing and Homeless Programs.docx
MS-00059497	FW: Copy of 2017 grant balances - report for Mims on CMHCs.xlsx
MS-00059585	dmh - nashmpd survey 2015 data.xlsx
MS-00059586	dmh nasmhpd 2015 excel to nasmhpd.xls
MS-00059672	2017a grant balances.xlsx
MS-00059673	2017a grant balances.xlsx
MS-00059674	2017 grant balances KELLY V1.1.xlsx
MS-00059675	2017 grant balances KELLY V1.1.xlsx
MS-00059676	2017 grant balances KELLY V1.1.xlsx
MS-00059677	2017 grant balances KELLY V1.1.xlsx
MS-00059693	Copy of 2017 grant balances - report for Mims on CMHCs.xlsx
MS-00059694	Copy of 2017 grant balances - report for Mims on CMHCs.xlsx
MS-00059809	2017a grant balances.xlsx
MS-00059810	2017a grant balances.xlsx
MS-00063382	Attachment C_Mental Health Outcome Measures.pdf
MS-00063383	Attachment D_Core Services Survey_Summary of Findings with limitations.docx
MS-00065481	2017 grant balances.xlsx
MS-00065969	Emailing - Copy of 2017 grant balances - report for Mims on CMHCs.pdf
MS-00065970	Copy of 2017 grant balances - report for Mims on CMHCs.pdf
MS-00066276	2017 grant balances TX 17.xlsx
MS-00066278	2017 grant balances highlighted grants of concern.xlsx
MS-00066330	BCS Presentation.pptx
MS-00067447	MS Department of Mental Health FY17 Performance Measures.docx
MS-00072627	2018 Proposed Cuts.xlsx
MS-00083966	FW: Response to CMHCs
MS-00083967	CMHC Billing Guideline.xls
MS-00083968	DOM Response To All CMHC Questions-Concerns (5 2 2012).docx
MS-00091529	MSCAN - Quality Management Program Evaluation -Annual - 2016.docx
MS-00091619	MS EQR Magnolia Health Plan CAN&CHIP Report 201703.pdf
MS-00099171	Social Service Monthly Minutes August 2014.docm
MS-00112526	RE: community services
MS-00114915	FW: Adult Psychiatric Commitments/Crisis Stabilization Units
MS-00115192	ADULT CHILDREN NEEDS.docx
MS-00115326	0740_001.pdf
MS-00115722	RE: DMH-CDR Project
MS-00115748	Anna Wolfe DMH Behavioral Health Funding.pdf
MS-00115749	Recalculation for Anna using FY17 REVISED.xlsx
MS-00116332	Crisis Meeting 11-21-17.docx
MS-00117753	Continued Treatment Services Reduction Plan Draft (Region 3 and 7 Proposal).docx
MS-00118827	RE: Some thoughts
MS-00119098	Recalculation for Anna using FY17 REVISED.xlsx
MS-00144772	0005_001.pdf
MS-00144773	0006_001.pdf
MS-00144776	0010_001.pdf
MS-00144789	DMH Funding Expenditures FY19.xlsx
MS-00144799	Comparisonn FY2017.pdf
MS-00144800	DMH Executive Staff Meeting April 18, 2018.pptx
MS-00144801	Executive Directors' Meeting Minutes.pdf
MS-00144803	Region 1.pdf
MS-00144804	Region 10.pdf
MS-00144805	Region 11.pdf
MS-00144806	Region 12.pdf
MS-00144816	Region 9.pdf
MS-00144818	2018 Legislative WrapUp.pdf

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
MS-00144822	Advocacy Presentation Strategic Plan 10.5.17.pdf
MS-00144829	4.27.18 Interagency Agreement with DOM regarding State Hospitals.pdf
MS-00145037	Community Integration Funding Application FY 19.pdf
MS-00145039	Continued Treatment Services Reduction Plan Draft (Region 3 and 7 Proposal).pdf
MS-00145043	List of DMH Projects (8-8-17).pdf
MS-00145062	2349_001.pdf
MS-00145063	CMHC 2018 Funding Memo CSU.pdf
MS-00145064	CMHC 2018 Funding Memo EEC.pdf
MS-00145065	CMHC 2018 Funding Memo PACT.pdf
MS-00145066	Crisis Enhancement Services FY19 Final.pdf
MS-00145067	CSU RFP FY19 Final Doc.pdf
MS-00145068	PACT RFA FY 19 Final.pdf
MS-00145235	1547_001.pdf
MS-00145347	Budget Cut explanation document.pdf
MS-00145436	DMH Org Charts FY2019.pdf
MS-00145448	Adult Pre-Evaluation 2016.docx
MS-00145449	Adult Pre-Evaluation cw.pdf
MS-00145450	Adult Pre-Evaluation Screen 2016.docx
MS-00145451	Adult Pre-Evaluation Screen 2016.pdf
MS-00145452	Adult Pre-Evaluation Screening form - old version.doc
MS-00145454	Commitment Exam poll of Mental Health Centers.docx
MS-00145455	Commitment Law.pdf
MS-00145456	Commitment Laws of MS 2015.docx
MS-00145458	Final Adult PES instructions.docx
MS-00145459	RE: Pre-evaluation Screening Information
MS-00145463	Pre Eval Screening Training.pdf
MS-00145464	Pre Eval Screening.pdf
MS-00145475	2016 Pre-Eval Exam Key.docx
MS-00145488	Part 2 PES Interview 2016.doc
MS-00145489	Part 3 PES Laws 2016.doc
MS-00145550	FW: Follow-up from our Weekly Census Conference Call on Monday, April 16, 2018 at 2:30 PM
MS-00145893	2018 grant balances.xlsx
MS-00145894	2018 grant balances.xlsx
MS-00145904	SUBGRANT EXPENDITURES FY17 CMHS.xlsx
MS-00145927	2011 inst vs community funding (2).xls
MS-00145929	2012 inst vs community services funding (2).xls
MS-00145933	community vs inpatient.xlsx
MS-00145934	community vs institutional fy2016 (2).xlsx
MS-00145936	INST VS COMM COSTS FY16.pdf
MS-00145937	inst vs. community costs 2015 (2).xlsx
MS-00145939	inst. vs. comm.pdf
MS-00145947	kl folder on inst. vs. comm..pdf
MS-ROGS-00000006	Interrogatory 5 Template.xlsx
MS-ROGS-00000127	EMSH Interrogatory 5.xlsx
MS-ROGS-00000141	Additional Information for Interrogatory #5.pdf
MS-ROGS-00000142	Documentation concerning Cost per patient day for FY 2011.pdf
MS-ROGS-00000144	Interrogatory 5 - MSH Response.xlsx
MS-ROGS-00000162	Interrogatory 5 - October 23, 2017.xlsx
MS-ROGS-00000166	SMSH - Interrogatory 5.xlsx

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
USDOJ-0000336	Joint Cmte on PEER - Rpt re Planning for MH in MS - 6-26-2008.pdf
USDOJ-0000338	PEER - Review of MSH Community Services Division Closure - 2014.pdf
USDOJ-0000498	2011 AD State Plan[1].pdf
USDOJ-0000813	TAC Adult Services Draft Report.pdf
USDOJ-0000823	Navigant Report FINAL_Medicaid Managed Care.pdf
USDOJ-0000889	Community-Expansion-Funds-2016.pdf
USDOJ-0001196	2017.07.18 Community services did what hospital couldn't for psychotic episode.pdf
USDOJ-0001457	DMHStrategicPlanFY2010-2020.pdf
USDOJ-0001458	FY 2011-2021 DMH Strategic Plan.pdf
USDOJ-0001459	FY 2012-2016 DMH Strategic Plan final.pdf
USDOJ-0001460	FY13-17 DMH Strategic Plan Final.pdf
USDOJ-0001462	FY14-FY16-DMH-Strategic-Plan-Final.pdf
USDOJ-0001463	FY15-FY17-DMH-Strategic-Plan-Revised-1.15.pdf
USDOJ-0001474	FY17-FY19-DMH-Strategic-Plan-Final.pdf
USDOJ-0001475	DMH-FY16-FY18-Strategic-Plan-End-of-Year-Progress-Report.pdf
USDOJ-0001480	DMH-FY-18-FY-20-Strategic-Plan.pdf
USDOJ-0006155	2017 3-3 MS DOM Response-to-Navigant Assessment-Report.pdf
USDOJ-0006202	PCH Crisis Report.pdf
USDOJ-0008426	2018.03.29 - Reaching the Special Needs Population.pptx
USDOJ-0008429	NRI FY 2015 SMHA Expenditures Table 7.xlsx
USDOJ-0008430	NRI FY 2015 SMHA Expenditures Table 8.xlsx
USDOJ-0008432	Systems Expert - Requested Analyses.xlsx
USDOJ-0010433	FOOTNOTES15.doc
USDOJ-0010446	Instructions & Glossary Fiscal Years 2015.doc
USDOJ-0010910	CY 2017 Statewide Summary.pdf
USDOJ-0011092	FW summary.msg
USDOJ-0011093	Region 1 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011106	Region 2 Communicare 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011119	Region 3 Lifecore 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011132	Region 4 Timber Hills 2017Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011144	Region 6 Life HelpTotal by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011157	Region 7 Community Counseling Services 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011170	Region 8 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011182	Region 9 Hinds Behav Hlth 2017Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011195	Region 10 39 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011208	Region 11 Southwest MS Mental Health Complex 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011221	Region 12 Pine Belt Mental Healthcare 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011234	Region 13 Gulf Coast Mental Health 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011247	Region 14 Singing River 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0011260	Region 15 Warren Yazoo Mental Health Services 2017 Total by Region and Date Range.pdf
USDOJ-0019335	Email from Robert E Drake, July 25, 2018
	http://www.mentalhealthamerica.net/issues/2017-state-mental-health-america-ranking-states
	42 U.S.C. § 1396d (a)(29)(B).,
	Monograph, Technical Assistance Collaborative (2005),
	A Community-Based Comprehensive Psychiatric Crisis Response Service, TAC (2005)
	A Statewide Approach for Integrated Supportive Housing in Mississippi, October 2014, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/A-Statewide-Approach-for-Integrated-Supportive-Housing-in-Mississippi3.pdf
	A. Daniels, E. Grant, and B. Filson, et al., Pillars of Peer Support: Transforming Mental Health Systems of Care Through Peer Support Services (2010),
	A. Kathryn Power, Focus on Transformation: A Public Health Model of Mental Health for the 21st Century, Psychiatric Services, Vol 60, No. 5, 580-584 (2009)
	Aileen B. Rothbard and Arie P. Schinnar, et al., Cost Comparison of State Hospital and Community-Based Care for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults, American Journal of Psychiatry, Vol. 155, No. 4, 523-529 (1998),

APPENDIX B

<u>Bates Number</u>	<u>Document</u>
	Albert Roberts and Linda Kurtz, Historical Perspectives on the Care and Treatment of the Mentally Ill, The Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare, Vol 14, No. 4, p. 74 (1987),
	Alexandre A. Loch, Discharged From a Mental Health Admission Ward: Is it Safe to go Home? A Review on the Negative Outcomes of Psychiatric Hospitalization, Psychol Res Behav Management, Vol. 7, 137-145 (2014),
	American Medical Association, Fee Schedule for Community/Private Mental Health Centers, effective July 1, 2018, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf
	An Assessment of Innovative Models of Peer Support Services in Behavioral Health to Reduce Preventable Acute Hospitalization and Readmission, HHS, Office of Disability, Aging and Long-Term Care Policy (2015),
	(Suppl 2) 206-209 (2013)
	Andrea M. LeFebvre and Susan J Farrell, Transitions from Assertive Community Treatment Among Urban and Rural Teams: Identifying Barriers, Service Options, and Strategies, Community Mental Health Journal, No. 54(4), 1-11 (2017)
	Anna Schwartz, Asylums, Mental Illness (2016) (reviewing Erving Goffman, Asylums: Essays on the Social Situation of Mental Patients and Other Inmates (1961)),
	Anna Wolfe, Left to Fend: The State's Mental Health Failure, Jackson Free Press, Aug. 27, 2014,
	Service Users Before and After Enrollment Into Assertive Community Treatment Teams: A Naturalistic Observational Study, International Journal of Mental Health Systems, 10(14) (2016),
	Article from Clarion Ledger, dated July 15, 2017, Why are there so many mentally ill walking Jackson's streets?,
	Assertive Community Treatment: Building Your Program, SAMHSA, DHHS Pub. No. SMA-08-4344, Rockville, MD (2008),
	Assessment #10, Expenditures, NASMHPD (2014)
	Assessment #2, Trend in Psychiatric Inpatient Capacity, United States and Each State 1970-2014, NASMHPD (2017),
	Assessment #3, Crisis Services' Role in Reducing Avoidable Hospitalization, NASMHPD (2017),
	Assessment #3: Care Transition Interventions to Reduce Psychiatric Re-Hospitalizations, NASMHPD, pp. 1-18 (2015),
	Assessment #4, The Role of Permanent Supportive Housing in Determining Psychiatric Inpatient Bed Capacity, NASMHPD (2017),
	Behavioral Health Care for People with Serious Mental Illness, including those with Co-Occurring Substance Use Disorders, NASMHPD (2017),
	Attachment 3.1-A, Exhibit 13.d. State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act. Mississippi, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/SPA2012-003.pdf
	D. Christopher Fruch, Marc E. Danton, et al., Trauma within the Psychiatric Setting: Conceptual Framework, Research Directions, and Policy Implications, Administration and Policy in Mental Health, Vol. 28, No. 2, 147-154 (2000),
	D. Christopher Fruch, Rebecca G. Knapp, et al., Special Section of Seclusion and Restraint: Patients' Reports of Traumatic or Harmful Experiences Within the Psychiatric Setting, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 56, No. 9, 1123-1133 (2005),
	Barbara Everett, Something is Happening: The Contemporary Consumer and Psychiatric Survivor Movement in Historical Context, J Mind and Behavior, Vol. 15, No. 1/2, 55-70 (1994),
	Empowerment, and Self-Reported Mental Health Functioning after 12 Months of Preventive Monitoring, J Frontiers
	Behavioral Health Barometer, Mississippi, SAMHSA, HHS Publication No. SMA-15-48 95 MS, Rockville, MD (2015),
	Benjamin G. Druss, et al., Psychiatry's Role in Improving the Physical Health of Patients with Serious Mental Illness: A Report from the American Psychiatric Association, Psychiatric Services, No. 69, 254-256 (2018),
	Best Principles for Managed Care Medicaid RFP: How Decision-Makers Can Select and Monitor High Quality Programs! AACAP (1996),
	Big Ideas: Erving Goffman and the Total Institution
	Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 39:422-418 (1988),
	Bowen Chung and Michael Ong, et al., 12-Month Outcomes of Community Engagement Versus Technical Assistance to Implement Depression Collaborative Care: A Partnered, Cluster, Randomized, Comparative-Effectiveness Trial, NIH-PA Manuscript, Am Intern Med., No. 161(0), S23-S34 (2014),

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Bradley Gaynes, Carrie Brown, Linda Lux, et al., Management Strategies to Reduce Psychiatric Readmissions, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Technical Brief No. 21 (2015),
	Brian Stettin, et al., Mental Health Diversion Practices, A Survey of the States, TAC (2013),
	Budget Request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2018, MS-00019187
	We Know from the Research? HHS Publication No. (SMA) 09-4439, Rockville, MD, Center for Substance Abuse
	Caroline Larue, et al., The Experience of Seclusion and Restraint in Psychiatric Settings: Perspectives of Patients, Issues in Mental Health Nursing, Vol. 34, No. 5, 317-324 (2013),
	Caroline M. Sallee and Erin M. Agemy, Costs and Benefits of Investing in Mental Health Services in Michigan, The Michigan Association of Community Mental Health Boards (2011),
	Cathy Owens, et al., Violence and Aggression in Psychiatric Units, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 49, No. 11, 1452-1457 (1998),
	Challenging the Therapeutic State, The Ex-Patients' Movement: Where We've Been and Where We're Going, J Mind and Behavior, Vol. 11, No. 3, 323-336 (1990),
	Charles E. Rosenberg, The Asylum in America: From Egg to Earth, Health Affairs, Vol 11, No. 3 (1992)
	Charles G. Curie, SAMHSA's Commitment to Eliminating the Use of Seclusion and Restraint, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 56, No. 9, 1139-1140 (2005),
	Charles Rapp and Richards Goscha, The Principles of Effective Case Management of Mental Health Services, Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, Vol 27, No. 4, pp. 319-333 (2004),
	Clausen, et al. (2016) "Hospitalisation of Severely Mentally Ill Patients With and Without Problematic Substance Use before and During Assertive Community Treatment: An Observational Cohort Study," BMC Psychiatry 16:125,
	Closing and Reorganizing State Hospitals, NASMHPD Research Institute, State Profile Highlights No. 4-13 (2004),
	CMHC Billing Guidelines, effective July 1, 2012
	Complaint in The United States v. The State of Mississippi, https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/united-states-v-mississippi-complaint
	Consulting Team, GRTL's Shared Leadership Framework and Compassionate Accountability Process: Project Objectives/Scope of Work, Center for New Leadership,
	Co-Occurring Disorders: Integrated Dual Disorders Treatment, Evidence-Based Practices: Shaping Mental Health Services Toward Recovery, SAMSHA,
	Coral Muskett, Trauma-Informed Care in Inpatient Mental Health Settings: A Review of the Literature, Int'l J Mental Health Nursing, Vol. 23, No. 1, 51-59 (2014),
	Coral Muskett, Trauma-Informed Care in InPatient Mental Health Settings: A Review of the Literature, Int'l J Mental Health Nursing (2013)
	Crisis Now: Transforming Services is Within our Reach, National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention: Crisis Services Task Force (2016),
	Crisis Services: Effectiveness, Cost-Effectiveness, and Funding Strategies, SAMHSA (2014),
	Daniel T. Chung, et al., Commentary: Adverse Experiences in Psychiatric Hospitals Might be the Cause of Some Postdischarge Suicides, Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic, Vol 80, No. 4, 371-375 (2016)
	Data on Spending Proportions: Table 8: SMHA Mental Health Controlled Per Capita Expenditures for State Mental Hospital Inpatient Services (State Hospital and Other Community-based), Research, Training and Administration, FY2013, http://www.nri-incdata.org/RevExp2013/T8.pdf
	David Mechanic, Is the Prevalence of Mental Disorders a Good Measure of the Need for Services? Health Affairs, Vol. 22, No. 5 (2003)
	David Mechanic, More People Than Ever Before are Receiving Behavioral Health Care in the United States, But Gaps and Challenges Remain, Health Affairs, Vol 33, No. 8 (2014)
	David Salkever, et al., Assertive Community Treatment for People with Severe Mental Illness: The Effect on Hospital Use and Costs, Health Services Research, Vol. 34, No. 2, 577-601 (1999),
	Dawn I. Velligan, Martha Sajatovic, et al., Why do Psychiatric Patients Stop Antipsychotic Medications? A Systematic Review of Reasons for Nonadherence to Medication in Patients with Serious Mental Illness, Patient Preference and Adherence, No. 11, 449-468 (2017),
	Deborah A. Perlic, et al., Stigma as a Barrier to Recovery: Adverse Effects of Perceived Stigma on Social Adaptation of Persons Diagnosed With Bipolar Affective Disorder, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 52, No. 12, 1627-1632 (2001),

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Deborah Allness and William Knoedler, National Program Standards for ACT Teams, (2003),
	Debra J. Rog, Tina Marshall, et al., Permanent Supportive Housing: Assessing the Evidence, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 65, No. 3, 287-294 (2014),
	Debra Srebnik, Joy Livingston, Lawrence Gordon, and David King, Housing Choice and Community Success for Individuals with Serious and Persistent Mental Illness, Community Mental Health Journal, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 139-152 (1995),
	Defendant's responses to Interrogatories 1 and 5,
	Dennis C Donat, Special Section on Seclusion and Restraint: Encouraging Alternatives to Seclusion, Restraint, and Reliance on PRN Drugs in a Public Psychiatric Hospital, Psychiatric Services, Vol 56, No 9, 1105-1108 (2005)
	Deposition of Andrew Day
	Deposition of Ben Mokry
	Deposition of Bo Chastain
	Deposition of Charlene Toten
	Deposition of Charles Carlisle
	Deposition of Debra Wuichet
	Deposition of Denise Jones
	Deposition of Jackie Fleming
	Deposition of Jake Hutchins
	Deposition of Kelly Breland
	Deposition of Robert Maddux
	Deposition of Shelia Newbaker
	Deposition of Steven Allen
	Deposition of Terry Busby
	Deposition of Veronica Vaughn
	Deposition of Wendy Bailey
	Descriptive Statistics, Expert Report of Todd MacKenzie.
	Diana Paksarian, Ramin Mojtabai, et al., Perceptions of Hospitalization-Related Trauma and Treatment Participation Among Individuals with Psychotic Disorders, Psychiatr Serv., No. 65(2) 266-269 (2014)
	Director of Peer-Run Warmlines & Resources, National Empowerment Center
	Diseases of the Mind: Highlights of American Psychiatry through 1900, NLM (2006), https://www.nlm.nih.gov/hmd/diseases/early.html#1752
	DMH Annual Report, FY2017, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/DMH-FY17-Annual-Report.pdf
	DMH FY 16 Annual Report, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/DMH-FY16-Annual-Report.pdf
	DMH FY17 - FY 19 Strategic Plan Mid-Year Progress Report, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/DMH-FY-17-FY-19-Strategic-Plan-Mid-Year-Progress-Report.pdf
	DMH FY2019 Budget Request, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/FY2019-Budget-Request-Legislative-Agenda-Final.pdf
	DMH Operational Standards for Mental Health, Intellectual Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Use Disorders Community Service Providers, effective July 1, 2016, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Final-Master-2016-Operational-Standards-for-Distribution-6-17-16.pdf
	DMH, Behavioral Health Programs, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/who-we-are/psychiatric-hospitals/
	DMH, Community Mental Health Centers, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/service-options/community-mh-centers/
	DMH, Fast Facts FY2017, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/Fast-Facts-FY17-Final.pdf
	DOJ Findings Letter, dated December 22, 2011, https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/crt/legacy/2012/01/26/miss_findletter_12-22-11.pdf
	DOM, About, https://Medicaid.ms.gov/about
	Doris A. Fuller and Elizabeth Sinclair, et al., Going, Going, Gone: Trends and Consequences of Eliminating State Psychiatric Beds, Treatment Advocacy Center (2016),
	Doris A. Fuller, et al., Psychiatric Bed Supply Need Per Capita, Treatment Advocacy Center (2016),
	Douglas A. Marty, et al., Factors Influencing Diversion from State Mental Health Hospitals, Int'l J Psychosocial Rehab., Vol. 18, No. 2, 141-152 (2014),
	Douglas Marty, Trevor Manthey, Charles Rapp, and Mark Holder, Factors Influencing Diversion from State Mental Health Hospitals, International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 141-152 (2014),

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Draft-Description of a Good and Modern Addictions and Mental Health Service System (2011),
	E. Fuller Torrey, A Dearth of Psychiatric Beds, Psychiatric Times (2016), http://www.psychiatrictimes.com/psychiatric-emergencies/dearth-psychiatric-beds
	Eileen Klein, Jessica Rosenberg and Samuel Rosenberg, Whose Treatment is it Anyway? The Role of Consumer Preferences in Mental Health Care, Am J Psychiatric Rehab., Vol. 10, No. 1, 65-80 (2007),
	Email from Berkeley Research Group, July 26, 2018
	EMSH Policies and Procedures Manual, POL. 102-03, Feb. 2015
	EMSH Policies and Procedures Manual, POL. 102-03, March 2017
	EMSH, Social Service History -Assessment Plan, Annual Update (Blank)
	Engagement: A New Standard for Mental Health Care, NAMI (2016),
	Eric Latimer, Economic Considerations Associated with Assertive Community Treatment and Supported Employment for People with Severe Mental Illness, J Psychiatry Neuroscience, Vol. 30, No. 5, 355-359 (2005),
	Erving Goffman, Characteristics of Total Institutions
	Federal Financial Participation in State Assistance Expenditures; Federal Matching Shares for Medicaid, the Children's Health Insurance Program, and Aid to Needy Aged, Blind, or Disabled Persons for October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018, 81 FR 80078 (Nov. 15, 2016), https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2016/11/15/2016-27424/federal-financial-participation-in-state-assistance-expenditures-federal-matching-shares-for
	Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) for Medicaid and Multiplier, KFF State Health Facts, FY2019, https://www.kff.org/medicaid/state-indicator/federal-matching-rate-and-multiplier/?currentTimeframe=0&sortModel=%7B%22colId%22:%22Location%22,%22sort%22:%22asc%22%7D
	Fee Schedule for Psychiatrists and Psychiatric Mental Health Nurse Practitioners for Mental Health/Psychiatry Services, July 1, 2017, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/MentalHealthPsychiatry.pdf
	Fiona Pharoah, Jair J. Mari, et al., Family Intervention for Schizophrenia, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, No. 12 (2010),
	Fiona Rich, The Effect of Institutionalisation, Birmingham City University
	Frederick J. Frese III, Jonathan Stanley, et al., Integrating Evidence-Based Practices and the Recovery Model, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 52, No. 11, 1462-1468 (2001),
	FY 2010 State Mental Health Revenues and Expenditures, http://www.nri-inc.org/media/1099/2012-fiscal-year-2010-revenues-and-expenditure-study-results-lutterman.pdf
	FY18-FY20 DMH Strategic Plan, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/DMH-FY-18-FY-20-Strategic-Plan.pdf
	FY19 Budget Book, http://www.lbo.ms.gov/misc/FY19_BudgetBook/bbook19-br35.pdf
	FY2019-FY2021 Strategic Plan, Mississippi Board of Mental Health, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/FY19-FY21-DMH-Strategic-Plan-Final.pdf
	G. Bonner, T. Lowe, et al., Trauma for all: A Pilot Study of the Subjective Experience of Physical Restraint for Mental Health Inpatients and Staff in the UK, J Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, Vol. 9, No. 4, 465-473 (2002),
	G. Thornicroft and M. Tansella, What are the Arguments for Community-Based Mental Health Care? WHO (Health Evidence Network) (2003), http://www.euro.who.int/document/E82976.pdf
	Gary Bond and Robert Drake, The Critical Ingredients of Assertive Community Treatment, World Psychiatry, Vol. 14, No. 22, pp. 240-242 (2015),
	Gary Bond, Assertive Community Treatment for People with Severe Mental Illness. Behavior Recovery Management Project: An Initiative of Fayette Companies, Peoria, IL; Chestnut Health Systems, Bloomington, IL; and The University of Chicago Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation, pp. 1-14 (2002),
	Gary R. Bond, Assertive Community Treatment for People with Severe Mental Illness, Behavioral Health Recovery Management Project (2002),
	Gerald N Grob, Mental Health Policy in America: Myths and Realities, Health Affairs, Vo. 11, No. 3 (1992)
	Gerald N. Grob, Psychiatry's Complex History, Health Affairs, Vol 34, No. 9 (2015)
	Git-Marie Ejneborn Looi, et al., A Self-Destructive Care: Self-Reports of People Who Experienced Coercive Measures and their Suggestions for Alternatives, Issues in Mental Health Nursing, Vol. 36, No. 2, 96-103 (2015),
	Glossary, Funding Sources and Expenditures of State Mental Health Agencies (SMHAS) : Fiscal Year 2015, NRI (2016),
	Goffman, E. Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity, NY: Simon &Schuster, (1963),

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Going, Going, Gone: Trends and Consequences of Eliminating State Psychiatric Beds, TAC (2016), https://www.nasmhpd.org/sites/default/files/The%20Vital%20Role%20of%20State%20
	Graham Thornicroft and Michele Tansella, Balancing Community-Based and Hospital-Based Mental Health Care, World Psychiatry, Vol. 1, No. 2, 84-90 (2002),
	Graham Thornicroft and Michelle Tansella, Components of a Modern Mental Health Service: A Pragmatic Balance of Community and Hospital Care: Overview of Systemic Evidence, The British Journal of Psychiatry, 185(4) 283-290,
	Greer Sullivan, Rehospitalization of the Seriously Mentally Ill in Mississippi: Conceptual Models, Study Design, and Implementation (1989),
	H. Goldman and J. Morrissey, The Alchemy of Mental Health Policy: Homelessness and the Fourth Cycle of Reform, American Journal of Public Health, Vol. 75, No. 7, pp. 727-730 (1985),
	H. Goldman, S. Thelander and O. Westrin, Organizing Mental Health Services: An Evidence Based Approach, Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics, Vol. 3, pp. 69-75 (2000),
	Hannah, Koch, Psy.D., Supporting Evidence-Based and Promising Practices: Assertive Community Treatment Fidelity and Rural Considerations, SAMHSA, March 20, 2018, https://www.nri-inc.org/media/1414/olmstead2018_ebp_koch_revised.pdf
	Hanne Clausen, et al., Hospitalization of High and Low Inpatient Service Users Before and After Enrollment into Assertive Community Treatment Teams: A Naturalistic Observational Study, Int'l J Mental Health Systems, Vol. 10 (2016),
	Heidi Taipale, Juha Mehtälä, et al., Comparative Effectiveness of Antipsychotic Drugs for Rehospitalization in Schizophrenia –A Nationwide Study with 20-Year Follow-up, Schizophrenia Bulletin (2017), https://academic.oup.com/schizophreniabulletin/advance-article/doi/10.1093/schbul/sbx176/4767778
	Holger Hoffmann, et al., Long-Term Effectiveness of Supported Employment: 5-Year Follow-Up of a Randomized Controlled Trial, Am J Psychiatry, No. 171, 1183-1190 (2014),
	Howard H. Goldman and Joseph P. Morrissey, et al., Lessons from the Program on Chronic Mental Illness, Health Affairs, Vol. 11, No. 3 (1992), https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.11.3.51
	Hyman M. Forstner, Problems in Relating Community Programs to State Hospitals, American Journal of Public Health, Vol. 51, No. 8, pp. 1152-1157 (1961),
	Imogen Prism, Psychiatric Survivor: The Trauma of Involuntary Hospitalization, The Body Is Not An Apology, July 1, 2016
	Improving Health Systems and Services for Mental Health, World Health Organization Publication (2009),
	In Their Own Words, Trauma Survivors and Professionals They Trust Tell What Hurts, What Helps and What is Needed for Trauma Services, Trauma Advisory Groups Report (1997)
	Indiana Health Coverage Program Policy Manual, Ch. 2200, Continuing Case Processing,
	Inpatient Beds: Hospital Report 2015 , https://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/index.cfm/30,0,83,588,html
	Inpatient Psychiatric Capacity and Utilization in Washington State, Washington State Institute for Public Policy (2015),
	J. Morrissey, and H. Goldman, Care and Treatment of the Mentally Ill in the United States: Historical Developments and Reforms, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science (1986),
	J. Morrissey, M. Calloway, M. Bartko, S. Ridgely, H. Goldman, and R. Paulson, Local Mental Health Authorities and Service System Change: Evidence form the Robert Wood Johnson Program on Chronic Mental Illness, The Millbank Quarterly, Vol. 72(1) pp. 49-80 (1994),
	J.R. van Veldhuizen and Michiel Bahler, Manual Flexible ACT (2013)
	James McQuade, and Elena Gromova, An Examination of Treatment Outcomes at State Licensed Mental Health Clinics, J Contemp Psychotherapy, No. 45, 177-183 (2015),
	Jari Tiihonen, Jari Haukka, et al., A Nationwide Cohort Study of Oral and Depot Antipsychotics After First Hospitalization for Schizophrenia, Am J Psychiatry, No. 168, 603-609 (2011),
	Jeffrey S. Harman, Willard G. Manning, et al., Association Between Interruptions in Medicaid Coverage and Use of Inpatient Psychiatric Services, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 54, No. 7 (2003),
	Jennifer L. Wright-Berryman, et al., A Review of Consumer-Provided Services on Assertive Community Treatment and Intensive Case Management Teams: Implications for Future Research and Practice, J Am Psychiatric Nurses Assoc., Vol. 17, No. 1, 37-44 (2011),
	Jennifer Wright-Berryman, Allan McGuire, and Michelle Salyers, A Review of Consumer-Provided Services on Assertive Community Treatment and Intensive Case Management Teams: Implications for Future Research and Practice, Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 37-44 (2011),
	Jerry Dincin, Daniel Wasmer, et al., Impact of Assertive Community Treatment on the Use of State Hospital Inpatient Bed-Days, American Psychiatry Association, Vol. 44, No. 9, 833-838 (1993),

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Jessica A. Jonikas, et al., Brief Reports: A Program to Reduce Use of Physical Restraint in Psychiatric Inpatient Facilities, Vol. 55, No. 7, 818-820 (2004),
	Jim Walsh and Joan Boyle, Improving Acute Psychiatric Hospital Services According to Inpatient Experiences. A User-Led Piece of Research as a Means to Empowerment, J Issues in Mental Health Nursing, Vol 30, No. 1 (2009)
	Jiri Raboch, et al., Use of Coercive Measures During Involuntary Hospitalization: Findings From Ten European Countries, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 61, No. 10, 1012-1017 (2010),
	Joe Parks, MD and Alan Radke, MD, The Vital Role of State Hospitals, National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors Technical Report #18 (2014),
	Joel E. Miller, Cornerstones for Behavioral Healthcare Today and Tomorrow: Forging A Framework to Position State Behavioral Health Agencies to Optimize Their Role in the Changing Healthcare Landscape, NASMHPD (2012),
	John Adlam and Christopher Scanlon, Erving Goffman's Asylums Fifty Years On, Med Health Care Philos, No. 16(3), 605-613 (2013)
	John McGrew, Laura Dietzen, et al., A Multisite Study of Client Outcomes in Assertive Community Treatment, Psychiatric Services, No. 46(7) 696-701(1995),
	Jon E. Gudeman, Barbara Dickey, et al., Four-Year Assessment of a Day Hospital-Inn Program as an Alternative to Inpatient Hospitalization, Am J Psychiatry, No. 142:11, 1330-1333 (1985),
	Josef Bäuml, et al., Psychoeducation: A Basic Psychotherapeutic Intervention for Patients with Schizophrenia and their Families, Schizophrenia Bulletin, Vol. 32, No.S1, S1-S9 (2006),
	Joseph P. Morrissey, et al., Assessing the Effectiveness of Recovery-Oriented ACT in Reducing State Psychiatric Hospital Use, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 64, No. 4, 303-311 (2013),
	Joseph P. Morrissey, et al., The Effectiveness of Recovery-Oriented ACT in Reducing Hospital Use: do Effects Vary Over Time? Psychiatric Services, Vol. 64, No. 4, 312-317 (2013),
	Josephine Gurch, What is Mental Health Becoming? Hogg Foundation for Mental Health Podcast (2017),
	Julie Repper and Tim Carter, A Review of the Literature on Peer Support in Mental Health Services, J Mental Health, Vol. 20, No. 4, 392-411 (2011),
	Jun Xia, Lars Bertil Merinder, and Madhvi R. Belgamwar, Psychoeducation for Schizophrenia, Cochrane Database Syst Rev., No. 1 (2013),
	K. Myrick and P. Vecchio, Peer Support in the Behavioral Healthcare Workforce: State of the Field, Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, Vol 39, No. 3, pp. 197-203 (2016),
	K. Provan, H. Milward, A Preliminary Theory of Interorganizational Network Effectiveness: A Comparative Study of Four Community Mental Health Systems, Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 40(1) pp. 1-33 (1995),
	Karen J Cusak et al., Trauma Within the Psychiatric Setting: A Preliminary Empirical Report, Administration and Policy in Mental Health Vol 30, No 5. 454 (2003),
	Kenneth B. Wells, Loretta Jones, et al., Community-Partnered Cluster-Randomized Comparative Effectiveness Trial of Community Engagement and Planning or Resources for Services to Address Depression Disparities, J Gen Intern Med, No. 28(10), 1268-78 (2013),
	Kevin A. Huckshorn, Reducing Seclusion and Restraint Use in Inpatient Settings: A Phenomenological Study of State Psychiatric Hospital Leader and Experience (USA). CPI Conference Aston,
	Kevin Golembiewski, Mental Health in Mississippi: Analysis and Recommendations, Harvard Law School Mississippi Delta Project (2014),
	Kim T. Mueser, Gary R. Bond, Robert E. Drake, and Sandra G. Resnick, Models of Community Care for Severe Mental Illness: A Review of Research of Case Management, Schizophrenia Bulletin, Vol. 24, No. 1, 37-74 (1998),
	Kyoko Higashi, et al., Medication Adherence in Schizophrenia: Factors Influencing Adherence and Consequences of Nonadherence, A Systematic Literature Review, Ther-Adv Psychopharmacol, No. 3(4), 200-218 (2013),
	L. Davidson, Peer Support: Coming of Age and/or Miles to go Before We Sleep? An Introduction, Journal of Behavioral Health Services and Research, 42, 96-99 (2015) ,
	L. Stein and M. A. Test, Alternative to Mental Health Treatment: 1. Conceptual Model Treatment Program, and Clinical Evaluation, Archives of General Psychiatry, Vol. 31, pp. 392-397 (1980),
	Larry Davidson and Michael A. Hoge, et al., Hospital or Community Living? Examining Consumer Perspectives on Deinstitutionalization, Psychiatric Rehab. J, Vol. 19, No. 3 (1996),
	Laura Iozzino, Clarissa Ferrari, et al., Prevalence and Risk Factors of Violence by Psychiatric Acute Inpatients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, J PLoS One, No. 10(6) (2015)

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Lauren Spiro, The Human Impact of Seclusion and Restraint, SAMHSA (2010),
	Laurie Alexander and Benjamin Druss, Behavioral Health Homes for People with Mental Health & Substance Use Conditions: The Core Clinical Features, SAMHSA (2012),
	Laysha Ostrov and Bevin Croft, Peer Respite: A Research and Practice Agenda, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 66, No. 6, 638-640 (2015),
	Leonard I Stein and Mary Ann Test, Alternative to Mental Hospital Treatment: I. Conceptual Model, Treatment Program, and Clinical Evaluation, Arch Gen Psychiatry, Vol. 37, 392-397 (1980), http://archpsyc.jamanetwork.com
	Leonard I Stein and Mary Ann Test, Alternative to Mental Hospital Treatment: III. Social Cost, Arch Gen Psychiatry, Vol. 37, 409-412 (1980),
	Leonard I. Stein, On the Abolishment of the Case Manager, Health Affairs, Vol. 11, No. 3 (1992), https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.11.3.172
	Leslie C. Hedman, et al., State Laws on Emergency Holds for Mental Health Stabilization, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 67, No. 5, 529-535 (2016),
	Letter from Cindy Mann, Director, CMCS, Re. Health Homes for Enrollees with Chronic Conditions, dated Nov. 16, 2010,
	Leyla Gulcur, et al., Housing, Hospitalization, and Cost Outcomes for Homeless Individuals with Psychiatric Disabilities Participating in Continuum of Care and Housing First Programmes, J Community & Applied Social Psychology, No. 13, 171-186 (2003)
	Lilijana Šprah, Mojica Zvezdana Dernovšek, et al., Psychiatric Readmissions and their Association with Physical Comorbidity: A Systematic Literature Review, BMC Psychiatry, No. 17:2 (2017),
	Lisa B. Dixon, et al., Treatment Engagement of Individuals Experiencing Mental Illness: Review and Update, World Psychiatry, No. 15, 13-20 (2016),
	Lisa Dixon, Assertive Community Treatment: Twenty Five Years of Gold, Psychiatric Services, Vol 51, No. 6, pp. 759-765 (2000),
	Loopinder Sood, et al., Flexible Assertive Community Treatment (FACT) Model in Specialist Psychosis Teams: An Evaluation, BJ Psych Bull, No. 41(4), 192-196 (2017)
	Luciano, A. et al, (2016) Hospitalization Risk Before and After Employment Among Adults with Schizophrenia, Bipolar Disorder, or Major Depression. Psychiatric Services, No. 67:10 (2016),
	Lutterman, T, Berhane, A, Phelan, B, Shaw, R, Rana, V: Funding and Characteristics of State Mental Health Agencies, 2007. HHS Pub No (SMA) 09-4424. Rockville, MD: Center for Mental Health Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration,
	M. A. Nugter, et al., Introduction: ACT and Flexible Assertive Community Treatment (FACT), Community Mental Health J., No. 52 (2016)
	M. Edmunds and R. Frank, et al., Challenges in Delivery of Behavioral Health Care, Managing Managed Care, NCBI (1997),
	Magnus Mfofo-M'Carthy and Stephanie Huls, Human Rights Violations and Mental Illness: Implications for Engagement and Adherence, Sage (2014),
	Marian Drukker, et al., Flexible Assertive Community Treatment, Severity of Symptoms and Psychiatric Health Service Use, A Real Life Observational Study, Clin Pract Epidemiol Mental Health, No. 9, 202-209 (2013)
	Marian S. McDonagh, et al., Treatment for Schizophrenia in Adults: A Systematic Review, Comparative Effectiveness Review, No. 198; AHRQ Publication No. 17(18) (2017), www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/reports/final.cfm
	Marian S. McDonagh, Tracy Dana, et al., Treatments for Schizophrenia in Adults: A Systematic Review, Comparative Effectiveness Review, No. 198 (2017),
	Mark Olfson, Assertive Community Treatment: An Evaluation of the Experimental Evidence, Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 1999, 41:634-641; ,
	Martha Knisley and Mary Fleming, Implementing Supported Housing in State and Local Mental Health Systems, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 456-461 (1993),
	Martin Salzmann-Erikson, Being Subject to Restrictions, Limitations and Disciplining: A Thematic Analysis of Individuals' Experiences in Psychiatric Intensive Care, J Issues on Mental Health Nursing, Vol 38, No. 7, 540-548 (2017)
	Mary Auslander and Darby Penney, The Prevalence of Abuse Histories in the Mental Health System, NAC/SMHA (1998) (https://www.nasmhpd.org/content/prevalence-abuse-histories-mental-health-system)
	Mary E. Johnson, Violence on Inpatient Psychiatric Units: State of the Science, J Am Psychiatric Nurses Association (2004),

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Mary R. Mewwin and Frank M. Ochberg, The Long Voyage: Policies for Progress in Mental Health, Health Affairs, Vol 2, No. 4 (1983)
	Matthew M. Large, et al., In-Patient Suicide: Selection of People at Risk, Failure of Protection and the Possibility of Causation, BJ Psych Open, Vol. 3, No. 3 102-105 (2017)
	Medicaid Billing Guidelines for Community Mental Health Services, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf
	Medicaid Fee Schedule for Community/Private Mental Health Centers, effective July 1, 2012, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf
	Medicaid Mental Health Services Regulations: Medicaid Rehab Services SPA, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/SPA2012-003.pdf
	Medicaid Suspension and Care Coordination Substitute Senate Bill 6430, Washington State Health Care Authority,
	Medicaid's Coordinated Care Program Continues to Evolve and Expand, https://medicaid.ms.gov/medicaids-coordinated-care-program-continues-to-evolve-and-expand/
	Meeting at Opal Smith
	Meeting at Stewpot
	Meeting with Adams County Chancery Clerk's Office
	Meeting with Muteh
	Meeting with NAMI
	Meeting with Open Doors
	Meetings with CMHC Regions 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15
	Memo from Cindy Mann, Director, CMCS, Re. Coverage and Service Design Opportunities for Individuals with Mental Inness and Substance Use Disorders, dated Dec. 3, 2012,
	Memo from NYS Office of Mental Health on Maintaining Medicaid Eligibility for Individuals Admitted to a Psychiatric Center, to Commissioners of Social Services, 11 OHIP/ADM 3, (Apr. 29, 2011),
	Mental Health and the Role of the States, The Pew Charitable Trusts & MacArthur Foundation (2015),
	Mental Health Clinical Roundtable SAMHSA's Good and Modern System, Ohio Developmental Disabilities Mental Health and Addiction Services (2014),
	Mental Health Clinical Roundtable: SAMSHA's Good and Modern System, Ohio DMAHAS (2014),
	Mental Health Service Users' Perceptions and Experiences of Sedation, Seclusion and Restraint, Int'l J Social Psychiatry (2010),
	Mental Health Treatment Evidence-Based Practices, Web Guide, SAMHSA, https://www.samhsa.gov/ebp-web-guide/mental-health-treatment
	Michael F. Hogan, New Freedom Commission Report: The Presidnet's New Freedom Commissioner: Recommendations to Transform Mental Health Care in America, Psychiatric Services, Vol 54, No. 11, 1467-1474 (2003)
	Michael F. Hogan, New Futures for Mental Health Care: The Case of Ohio, Health Affairs, 69-83 (2018),
	Michael Ollove, Amid Shortage of Psychiatric Beds, Mentally Ill Face Long Waits for Treatment, Stateline-Pew Charitable Trusts (2016),
	Michael T. Compton, et al., A Comprehensive Reivew of Extant Research on Crisis Interventions Team (CIT) Programs, J Am Acad Psychiatry Law, Vol 36, No. 1, 47-55 (2008)
	Miriam McNown Johnson and Rita Rhodes, Institutionalization: A Theory of Human Behavior and the Social Environment, Advances in Social Work, Vol. 8, No. 1, 219-236 (2007),
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-101. Effect of admission or commitment,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-102. Additional patient rights,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-103. Voluntary admission procedures,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-105. Good faith immunity,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-107. Offenses and penalties ,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-109. Treatment facilities for mentally intellectually disabled and mentally ill adolescents,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-61. Definitions,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-63. Commitment; jurisdiction of chancery court and circuit court,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-65. Affidavit; legislative intent; form; fees,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-67. Proceeding upon affidavit; physician or psychologist authority for temporary commitment; Crisis Intervention Teams,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-68. Regional holding facilities,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-69. Examination; attorney may be present,

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-71. Dismissal or hearing,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-73. Notice and conduct of hearing; allocation of costs,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-74. Outpatient treatment,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-75. Repealed,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-76. Waivers,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-77. Commitment to treatment facility,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-79. Liability for costs; maximum amount,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-81. Proceedings regarding continued hospitalization,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-82. Report before initial order terminates,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-83. Hearing concerning continued commitment,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-85. Costs of second hearing,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-87. Discharge at initiative of director,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-88. Release of person acquitted of criminal charge by reason of insanity; court order requirement; notification of sheriffs and victims,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-89. Discharge; initiative of patient; representative ,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-91. Removal to another state,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-93. Warrant to return patient,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-95. Costs of returning patients who left without authorization,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-97. Confidentiality ,
	Miss. Code Ann. § 41-21-99. Rights of patients,
	Miss. Code. Ann. § 41-4-1. Statement of purpose,
	Miss. Code. Ann. § 41-4-2. Purpose,
	Miss. Code. Ann. § 41-4-3. Composition of state board of mental health,
	Miss. Code. Ann. § 41-4-7. Powers and duties of the state board,
	Mississippi Access to Care, Implementation Report #1 (2003),
	Mississippi Access to Care, submitted to the Mississippi Legislature in response to House Bill 929 (2001),
	Mississippi Division of Medicaid Eligibility Policy and Procedures Manual, 101.02.02, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Chapter-101.pdf
	Mississippi Medicaid Provider Reference Guide for Part 206, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/Provider-Reference-Guide-206.pdf
	Mississippi: A State of Health Disparities,
	MS Budget Request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019, Mental Health –Central Mississippi Residential Center, No. 375-00 (July 31, 2017), http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52679
	MS Budget Request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019, Mental Health –East Mississippi State Hospital, No. 372-00 (July 31, 2017), http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52586
	MS Budget Request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019, Mental Health –Mississippi State Hospital, No. 374-00 (July 31, 2017), http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52648
	MS Budget Request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019, Mental Health –North Mississippi State Hospital, No. 384-00 (July 31, 2017), http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52802
	MS Budget Request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019, Mental Health –South Mississippi State Hospital, No. 388-00 (July 31, 2017), http://www.lbo.ms.gov/PublicReports/DownloadReportFile?ReportId=52924
	MS Division of Medicaid, Administrative Code, Title 23: Medicaid Part 202, Mental Health Services,
	MS State Plan Amendments (SPA), Attachment 3.1-A, Exhibit 13.d and Attachment 4.19-B, effective July 1, 2012, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/SPA2012-003.pdf
	Muhammad Qutayba Almerie, et al., Social Skills Programmes for Schizophrenia, Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts, No. 2 (2014),
	N. Rusch, M Muller, et al., Emotional Reactions to Involuntary Psychiatric Hospitalization and Stigma-Related Stress Among People with Mental Illness, Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci, Vol. 264(1), 35-43 (2014)
	Nadiya Sunderji, et al., Urgent Psychiatric Services: A Scoping Review, Can J Psychiatry, Vol. 60, No. 9, 393-402 (2015) ,
	Nancy H. Liu, et al., Excess Mortality in Persons with Severe Mental Disorders: A Multilevel Intervention Framework and Priorities for Clinical Practice, Policy and Research Agendas, World Psychiatry, No. 16, 30-40 (2017),
	Nancy Tomes, The Patient as a Policy Factor: A Historical Case Study of the Consumer/Survivor Movement in Mental Health, Health Affairs, Vol. 25, No. 3, 720-729 (2006),

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	National Behavioral Health Quality Framework, SAMHSA, https://www.samhsa.gov/data/national-behavioral-health-quality-framework
	Nicolass Van Meerten, J. Irene Harris and Tasha Nienow, et al., Inpatient Utilization Before and After Implementation of Psychosocial Rehabilitation Programs: Analysis of Cost Reductions, Psychological Services, Vol 10, No. 4, pp. 420-427 (2013),
	Nine Features of the Best Mental Health Practices, Behavioral Health Evolution (2016),
	Nora Jacobson and Laurie Curtis, Recovery as Policy in Mental Health Services: Strategies Emerging from the States, Psychiatric Rehab J, Vol. 23, No. 4, 333-341 (2000),
	NRI FY 2015 SMHA Expenditures Table 7,
	Pamela S. Hyde, Behavioral Health: Evidence-Based Treatment and Recovery Practices, SAMHSA (2012),
	Pat Mayers, et al., Mental Health Service Users' Perceptions and Experiences of Sedation, Seclusion and Restraint, Int'l J Social Psychiatry (2010),
	Pauline M. Prior, Surviving Psychiatric Institutionalisation: A Case Study, Sociology of Health & Illness, Vol. 17, No. 5, 651-667 (1995),
	Peter J. Weiden, Chris Kozma, et al., Partial Compliance and Risk of Rehospitalization Among California Medicaid Patients with Schizophrenia, Psychiatric Services, No. 55, 886-891 (2004),
	Philip W. Bush, et al., The Long-Term Impact of Employment on Mental Health Service Use and Costs for Persons With Severe Mental Illness, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 60, No. 8, 1024-1031 (2015),
	Philosophy of EMSH
	PJ Boyle, et al., Managed Care in Mental Health: The Ethical Issues, (Abstract), PMC (1995), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/m/pubmed
	Position Statement on Seclusion and Restraint, NASMHPD, https://www.nasmhpd.org/content/position-statement-seclusion-and-restraint
	Practice Guidelines: Core Elements for Responding to Mental Health Crises, SAMHSA, HHS Publication No. SMA-09-4427 (2009),
	Priscilla Ridgeway and Anthony Zippel, The Paradigm Shift in Residential Services: From the Linear Continuum to Supported Housing Approaches, Psycho-Social Rehabilitation Journal, Vol 13, No. 4, pp. 11-31 (1990),
	Progress Update on MS Mental Health System, http://www.dmh.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Progress-Update-on-Mississippi-Public-Mental-Health-System-November-2016-updates.pdf
	Projections of National Expenditures for Treatment of Mental and Substance Use Disorders, SAMHSA, HHS Publication No. SMA-14-4883 (2014),
	Psychiatric%20HospitalsTechnical%20Report_July_2014.pdf
	Psychosis and Schizophrenia in Adults: Prevention and Management, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, Clinical Guideline (2014), nice.org.uk/guidance/cg178
	R M Weinstein, Goffman's Asylums and the Total Institution Model of Mental Hospitals, Psychiatry Interpersonal & Biological Processes, No. 57(4), 348-367 (1994)
	Ramya Sundararaman, The U.S. Mental Health Delivery System Infrastructure: A Primer, Congressional Research Service (2009),
	Raymond M Weinstein, Goffman's Asylums and the Social Situation of Mental Patients, Orthomolecular Psychiatry (1982)
	Recovery Focused Hospital Diversion and Aftercare –Transformation in Services Will Equal Transformation in Lives, Disability Rights California, Pub #CM62.01 (2015),
	Recovery Principles, APA Monitor, Vol 43, No. 1, 55 (2012),
	Released, Relapsed, Rehospitalized, Length of State and Readmission Rates in State Hospitals, TAC (2016), http://www.treatmentadvocacycenter.org/evidence-and-research/studies
	Response of DMH to Interrogatory No. 4,
	Restoring Lives: Building Integrated Communities and Strengthening Support, Olmstead Implementation Best Strategies and Practices Policy Academy Summary (2012),
	Richard H. Dougherty, Organizing and Financing Mental Health Services; Moving Forward: Designing and Financing Effective Mental Health Services in an Era of Transformation, The Center for Health Program Development and Management, UMBC (2007),
	Robert Dowart, M.D., and Claudia Hoover, A National Study of Transitional Hospital Services in Mental Health, American Journal of Public Health, Vol 84, No. 8, pp. 1229-1234 (undated),
	Robert E Drake, Deborah R Becker, et al., Best Practices: The Johnson & Johnson 0 Dartmouth Community Mental Health Program: Disseminating Evidence-Based Practice, Psychiatric Services, Vol 57, No. 3, 302-304 (2006)

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Robert E. Drake and Eric Latimer, Lessons Learned in Developing Community Mental Health Care in North America, World Psychiatry, Vol. 11, No. 1, 47-51 (2012),
	Robert E. Drake, Erica L. O'Neal and Michael A. Wallach, A Systematic Review of Psychosocial Research on Psychosocial Interventions for People with Co-Occurring Severe Mental and Substance Use Disorders, J Substance Abuse Treatment, No. 34, 123-138 (2008),
	Robert E. Drake, et al., Cost-Effectiveness of Assertive Community Treatment Versus Standard Case Management for Persons with Co-Occurring Severe mental Illness and Substance Use Disorders, Health Serv Res, No. 33(5 pt 1), 1285-1308 (1998)
	Robert E. Drake, et al., Individual Placement and Support Services Boost Employment for People with Serious Mental Illnesses, But Funding is Lacking, Health Affairs, Vol. 35, No. 6, 1098-1105 (2016),
	Robert E. Drake, Gregory J. McHugo, and Deborah R. Becker, The New Hampshire Study of Supported Employment for People With Severe Mental Illness, J Consulting and Clinical Psychology, Vol. 64, No. 2, 391-399 (1996),
	Robert Rosenheck, et al., Service System Integration, Access to Services, and Housing Outcomes in a Program for Homeless Persons with Severe Mental Illness, American J Public Health, Vol 88, No. 11, 1610-1615 (1998)
	Robert W. Glover, Reducing the Use of Seclusion and Restraint, Psychiatric Services, Vol 56, No. 9, 1141-1142 (2005),
	Rowland, D. Garfield, R., and Elias, R., "Accomplishments and Challenges in Medicaid Mental Health", Health Affairs, Oct. 7, 2008,
	S. Kliewer and J. McNally and R. Trippany, Deinstitutionalization: Its Impact on Community Mental Health Centers and the Seriously Mentally Ill, The Alabama Counseling Association Journal, Vol 35, No. 1, pp. 40-45 (undated),
	S. Mead, D. Hilton and L. Curtis, Peer Support: A Theoretical Perspective, Psychiatric Rehab J, Vol. 25, No. 2, 134-141 (2001),
	Sarra L. Hedden, et al., Behavioral Health Trends in the United States: Results from the 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality (2015),
	Shengang Guo, David Biegel, Jeffrey Johnsen, and Hayne Dyches, Assessing the Impact of Community Based Mobile Crisis Services on Prevention Hospitalization, Psychiatric Services, Vol 52, No. 2, pp. 223-228 (2001),
	Shenyang Guo, et al., Assessing the Impact of Community-Based Mobile Crisis Services on Preventing Hospitalization, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 52, No. 2, 223-228 (2001),
	Siobhan Reilly, Claire Planner, et al., Collaborative Care Approaches for People with Severe Mental Illness, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, No. 11 (2013),
	State of Mississippi, Budget Request for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2018, Mental Health- Mississippi State Hospital (2016),
	State's Response to United States' First Interrogatories with Exhibit A,
	Statewide Operations, 42 CFR 431.50,
	Stephen J. Lally, Does Being in Here Mean There is Something Wrong With Me, Schizophrenia Bulletin, Vol. 15, No. 2 (1989)
	Steve R. Kisely, Leslie Anne Campbell and Neil J. Preston, Compulsory Community and Involuntary Outpatient Treatment for People with Severe Mental Disorders, Cochrane Database of Syst Rev., No. 3 (2005),
	Steven Huz, Helle Thorning, et al., Time in Assertive Community Treatment: A Statewide Quality Improvement Initiative to Reduce Length of Participation, Psychiatric Services No. 68, 539-541 (2017),
	Steven S. Sharfstein and Faith B. Dickerson, Hospital Psychiatry for the Twenty-First Century, Health Affairs, Vol. 2, No. 3, 685-688 (2009),
	Steven Sharfstein and Faith Dickerson, Hospital Psychiatry for the 21st Century, Health Affairs, Vol. 28, No. 3, pp. 685-688 (2009),
	Susan D. Phillips, et al., Evidence-Based Practices: Moving Assertive Community Treatment Into Standard Practice, Psychiatric Services J, Vol. 52, No. 6, 771-779, (2001),
	Susan Phillips, Barbara Burns and Elizabeth Edgar, et al., Moving Assertive Community Treatment into Standard Practice, Psychiatric Services, Vol 52, No. 6, pp. 771-779 (2001),
	T.M. Lincoln, K. Wilhelm, and Y. Nestoriuc, Effectiveness of Psychoeducation for Relapse, Symptoms, Knowledge, Adherence and Functioning in Psychotic Disorders: A Meta-Analysis, Schizophrenia Research, No. 96, 232-245 (2007),
	Table 7: SMHA Controlled Mental Health Expenditures by Type of Program, FY 2015,

APPENDIX B

Bates Number	Document
	Table 7: SMHA Mental Health-Controlled Expenditures by Type of Program, FY 2013 , http://www.nri-incdata.org/RevExp2013/T7.pdf
	Table 8: SMHA Mental Health Controlled Per Capita Expenditures for State Mental Hospital Inpatient Services, Community Services, FY 2015,
	Table: State Mental Health Agency Per Capita Mental Health Services Expenditures, https://www.kff.org/other/state-indicator/smha-expenditures-per-capita
	Ted Lutterman and Ron Mandersheid, Trends in Total Psychiatric Inpatient and Other 24-Hour Mental Health Residential Treatment Capacity, 1970-2014, NASMHPD Commissioners Meeting (July 31, 2017),
	The Ideal State Mental Health System, NAMI (2014),
	The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, "Mental Health Financing in the United States: A Primer", April 2011, Pages 1-12,
	The New Asylums, PBS Frontline Special Report (2005) (Excerpt, E. Fuller Torrey, Deinstitutionalization in Out of the Shadows: Confronting America's Mental Illness Crisis (1997)),
	The Olmstead Decision: Implications for Medicaid, Kaiser Commission Policy Brief (2000),
	Tim R. Knettler, et al., Latest Trends in State Mental Health Agencies, NASMHPD Commissioners Meeting (2016),
	Tour of CMRC
	Tour of ESMH
	TR Hadley and HH Goldman, A Partial Solution: a local Mental Health Authority for the UK, Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics, Vol. 1, Issue 2, pp. 73-76 (1998),
	Tracking the History of State Psychiatric Hospital Closures from 1997 to 2015, NRI (2015), http://www.nri-inc.org/media/1111/2015-tracking-the-history-of-state-psychiatric-hospital-closures-lutterman.pdf
	Transition Between Inpatient Mental Health Settings and Community or Care Home Settings, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Guideline (2016), nice.org.uk/guidance/ng53
	Trends in Psychiatric Inpatient Capacity, United States and Each State, 1970 to 2014, Tenth in a Series of Ten Briefs Addressing: What is the Inpatient Bed Need if you have a Best Practice continuum of Care? NRI Psychiatric Bed Registries Report (2017)
	United States v. Mississippi, Parties' Stipulation ECF 61-1,
	Veronica Pitt, Dianne Lowe, et al., Consumer-Providers of Care for Adult Clients of Statutory Mental Health Services, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, No. 3 (2013),
	Vincent S Staggs, Injurious Assault Rates on Inpatient Psychiatric Units: Associations with Staffing by Registered Nurses and Nursing Personnel, Psychiatric Services, Vol 66, No. 11, 1162-1166 (2015)
	Wai Tong Chien and David R. Thompson, An RCT with Three-Year Follow-Up of Peer Support Groups for Chinese Families of Persons with Schizophrenia, Psychiatric Services, Vol. 64, No. 10, 997-1005 (2013),
	Wanda K. Mohr, et al., Adverse Effects Associated with Physical Restraint, Can J Psychiatry, Vol. 58, No. 5, 330-337 (2003),
	Web Resources – Medicaid Billing Rates and Service Caps: Fee Schedule for Community/Private Mental Health Centers, https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CommunityMentalHealthCenter.pdf
	What are Peer Recovery Support Services? Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, HHS publication No. SMA 09-4454 (2009)
	William Fisher, Jeffrey Geller and John A. Pandiani, The Changing Role of the State Psychiatric Hospital, Health Affairs, Vol 28, No. 3, pp. 676-684 (2009),
	Winnie S. Chow and Stefan Priebe, Understanding Psychiatric Institutionalization: A Conceptual Review, BMC Psychiatry, Vol 13, No. 169 (2013), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3702490

EXHIBIT NO. **PX-407**
CAUSE NO. **3:16CV622CWR-FKB**
WITNESS
CLERK: **TWANA SUMMERS**

Jun 03 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
_____, REPORTER